



Health-Related Quality of Life of Patients with Postural Kyphosis Compared to Spinal Deformities in Adolescence: A Cross-Sectional Study

Omer ERSEN¹, Ugur YUZUGULDU², Omer Levent KARADAMAR¹, Ali Murat BASAK², Tolga EGE¹

¹Health Sciences University, Gulhane School of Medicine, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

²Gulhane Education and Research Hospital, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Ankara, Türkiye

Corresponding author: Omer ERSEN ✉ merschenn@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

AIM: To evaluate the quality of life of patients with postural kyphosis (PK) compared with those adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) and Scheuermann Kyphosis (SK).

MATERIAL and METHODS: Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of adolescents with PK who were admitted to our clinic between January 2020 and June 2022 was compared with that of patients with AIS and SK who were admitted during the same period by using the Scoliosis Research Society-22 (SRS-22) questionnaire. All patients were asked to complete the SRS-22 questionnaire before the radiological evaluation. In the radiological evaluation, the sagittal and coronal deformities of the patients were measured.

RESULTS: In total, 126 patients with PK were compared with age and sex-matched AIS patients and 42 SK patients. The mean SRS-22 function score of the PK group was 4.72 ± 0.3 while it was 4.38 ± 0.6 ($p=0.015$) in SK patients and it was 4.34 ± 0.6 ($p<0.001$) in AIS patients. The mean SRS-22 pain scores of PK patients was 4.18 ± 0.7 . The mean pain score was 3.68 ± 0.8 ($p=0.033$) in the SK group and 3.6 ± 0.8 ($p=0.010$) in the AIS group. Adolescents with PK perceived less pain than those with AIS or SK. The scores for the other domains of SRS-22 revealed no differences.

CONCLUSION: HRQoL of patients with PK is reduced, similar to that of patients with common structural spine deformities. Recognizing the effects of PK on the HRQoL in adolescents can help physicians to treat these patients.

KEYWORDS: Thoracic kyphosis, Hyperkyphosis, Health-related quality of life, Postural, SRS-22

ABBREVIATIONS: HRQoL: Health-related quality of life, AIS: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, SK: Scheuermann Kyphosis, PK: Postural Kyphosis, SRS-22: Scoliosis Research Society-22 questionnaire

INTRODUCTION


Spinal balance can be defined as the equality of muscle strength, which opposes the forces acting on the body, especially gravity, and allows us to stand in an upright position. Spinal balance in adolescents can be affected by skeletal growth and maturation of the postural control center

(2). Digital devices that have become more common in our lives now make adolescents prone to kyphotic posture. In addition, online classes and increased smartphone usage during the COVID-19 pandemic have caused more frequent complaints of postural disorders, and physicians now face postural disturbances more often in their daily routine (8,10,19).


Omer ERSEN


 : 0000-0001-7351-6305

Ugur YUZUGULDU


 : 0000-0002-3378-2497

Omer Levent KARADAMAR

 : 0000-0001-7642-000X

Ali Murat BASAK  : 0000-0003-3379-6052

Tolga EGE

 : 0000-0002-8991-8816

Postural kyphosis (PK) is a poor postural habit in which the patient's back looks abnormally rounded, and this sagittal plane deformity exceeds the physiological limit of over 40° (1,11,12). Increased kyphosis in the thoracic region can cause pain, breathing difficulties, and cosmetic problems (11,12,24). In addition, PK is associated with back pain and a poor cosmetic appearance. Furthermore, health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is lower in patients with kyphosis than in the healthy controls (14,20,24). It has been shown that there is a negative correlation between an increase in the thoracic kyphosis angle and HRQoL scores, although the quality of life of PK patients has not been studied separately (20). Although many adolescents with PK visit spine specialists, HRQoL in PK has rarely been studied.

As PK is not usually perceived as a real deformity most of the time, there may be delays and omissions in its diagnosis and treatment. This study aimed to evaluate the HRQoL in PK compared to that in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) and Scheuermann kyphosis (SK) patients.

■ MATERIAL and METHODS

Our institutional review board reviewed and approved this single-center cross-sectional study (Ethical committee of Gulhane Education and Research Hospital, Health Sciences University, Date: 11.05.2022; No: 2022/57). Adolescent patients with PK who were admitted to our clinic between January 2020 and June 2022 were included in this study (Figure

1). Thoracic hyperkyphosis patients who did not meet the SK diagnostic Sorenson's criteria had a thoracic kyphosis of 45° with three or more adjacent wedged vertebrae angled by at least 5° , disc space narrowing, irregular endplates associated with the single-wedged vertebra, or the presence of Schmorl's nodes in the PK group (21). Patients with congenital, neuromuscular, or other secondary spinal deformities were excluded from the study. Patients with SK and AIS admitted during the same period were selected as separate control groups. All patients were asked to complete the Scoliosis Research Society-22 (SRS-22) questionnaire before the radiological evaluation. The SRS-22 is the most widely used disease-specific questionnaire, and the reliability and validity of the Turkish version have been demonstrated (3). The SRS-22 assesses the self-reported pain, the patient's functional capacity, self-image perception, and mental health. Cervical lordosis, thoracic kyphosis, lumbar lordosis, and coronal deformities were assessed radiologically using the Cobb angle method. The T1-pelvic angles were also measured to evaluate global sagittal balance. As the number of patients with SK was fewer than that of patients with PK, all patients with SK patients were included in the first control group. Age- and sex-matched patients with AIS who had lower than 40° thoracic scoliosis were selected as the second control group.

We used the SPSS software version 25.0 package (Chicago, IL) and expressed categorical variables as percentages and continuous variables as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or median (quartiles). We used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test to




Figure 1: Anteroposterior, and lateral spinal X-rays of 15-year-old boy with postural kyphosis.

evaluate whether the distribution of continuous variables was normal. For parameters that showed normal distribution, we used the paired sample t-test, and for parameters that did not show normal distribution we used the Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

The PK and AIS groups comprised of 126 patients (66 females and 60 males), while the SK group included 42 patients (16 females and 26 males). The average age was 15.1 ± 1.8 in the PK group and 15.5 ± 1.5 years in the SK group ($p = 0.580$). When cervical lordosis, thoracic kyphosis, and lumbar lordosis were compared, the SK group showed higher Cobb angles than that of the PK group in the sagittal plane. In addition, the SK group had more coronal plane deformities than that of the PK group. T1-pelvic angles were similar between the groups ($p = 0.328$). SRS-22 function and pain scores of the PK group were 4.72 ± 0.3 and 4.18 ± 0.7 respectively while SRS-22 function and pain scores of the SK group were 4.38 ± 0.6 and 3.68 ± 0.8 respectively ($p = 0.015$ and $p = 0.033$ respectively). There were no statistically significant differences between self-image, mental health, and SRS-22 total scores (Table I).

When the PK group was compared with the age- and sex-matched AIS group, thoracic kyphosis in the PK group was higher, whereas cervical lordosis and lumbar lordosis were similar ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.069$, and $p = 0.476$ respectively). The T1-pelvic angles were similar between the groups ($p = 0.418$). The AIS group had 20.5 ± 8.3 thoracic scoliosis and 4.9 ± 5.9 lumbar scoliosis while the PK group had significantly less deformity in the coronal plane ($p < 0.001$). The SRS function score of the AIS group was 4.34 ± 0.6 and the SRS pain score of the AIS group was 3.75 ± 0.7 . These scores were lower than the PK group's function score of 4.72 ± 0.3 , and pain score of 4.18 ± 0.7 ($p < 0.001$ and $p = 0.010$ respectively). The other domain scores of the groups were similar (Table II).

DISCUSSION

Postural disturbances are common in adolescence and are associated with the speed of puberty, slouching, and poor postural habits related to digital device usage (10,12,17). The effect of PK on the quality of life of adolescents can be underestimated compared to that of AIS or SK. Although the HRQoL of patients with AIS and SK compared to healthy adolescents has been widely studied, there has been little interest in the quality of life specifically in patients with postural kyphosis (3,5,7,14,17,20,21,24). The SRS outcome scores were developed to measure the quality of life in AIS and can also be used in SK (5,7,9,18,20,22). In this study, we evaluated the HRQoL of adolescent patients with PK and compared it with those with AIS and SK by using the SRS-22 questionnaire. In most previous studies, adolescents without deformities who were referred for school screenings were included in the control group. In this study, the HRQoL of adolescents with PK was compared with patients with spinal deformities instead of a control group.

Table I: Comparison of Radiological Parameters and SRS-22 Scores of Patients

	Age	Cervical lordosis (°)	Thoracic kyphosis (°)	Lumbar lordosis (°)	T1-pelvic angle (°)	Thoracic Scoliosis (°)	Lumbar scoliosis (°)	SRS Function	SRS Pain	SRS Self-image	SRS Mental health	SRS Total
Postural Kyphosis	15.1 ± 1.8 (12-17)	14.1 ± 5.1 (4-24)	42.8 ± 4.27 (38-52)	40.4 ± 6.17 (28-50)	10.3 ± 7.4 (-7.2-14.6)	1.7 ± 2.7 (0-9)	1.3 ± 2 (0-7)	4.72 ± 0.3 (3.4-5)	4.18 ± 0.7 (2.4-5)	3.34 ± 0.6 (2.2-5)	3.3 ± 0.7 (2.2-5)	3.84 ± 0.4 (2.9-4.7)
Scheuermann Kyphosis	15.5 ± 1.5 (12-17)	22.7 ± 7.3 (8-36)	65.3 ± 7.71 (56-80)	49.7 ± 12.3 (22-68)	9.8 ± 7.3 (-7.8-15.2)	4.5 ± 5.5 (0-21)	4.2 ± 4 (0-12)	4.38 ± 0.6 (2.2-5)	3.68 ± 0.8 (2.2-5)	3.12 ± 0.9 (1.6-4.6)	3.44 ± 0.9 (2.2-5)	3.66 ± 0.7 (2.3-4.8)
Postural kyphosis versus Scheuermann kyphosis												
p	0.580	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.328	0.018	0.001	0.015	0.033	0.342	0.608	0.281
Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis												
	15.1 ± 1.8 (12-17)	15.8 ± 3.4	28.5 ± 4.8	39.6 ± 3.4	10.2 ± 7.4 (-7.9-14.4)	20.5 ± 8.3	4.9 ± 5.9	4.34 ± 0.6	3.75 ± 0.7	3.28 ± 0.7	3.33 ± 0.7	3.66 ± 0.5
Postural kyphosis versus Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis												
p	1	0.695	<0.001	0.476	0.418	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.010	0.690	0.861	0.107

Age- and sex-matched groups could not be formed in this study because the incidence of SK was lower than that of PK and AIS (14,16). Petcharaporn et al. investigated the relationship between thoracic hyperkyphosis and the SRS outcome instrument; they found that the magnitude of the kyphosis negatively correlated with SRS scores in all domains (20). They did not compare SK and PK as different deformities and compared all hyperkyphosis cases with a normal control group that had been referred for a scoliosis screening program. In contrast, we compared patients with PK with those with SK and found that the SRS-22 function and pain scores of patients with PK were higher than those of patients with SK. Although both the coronal and sagittal plane deformities in these groups were significantly different, the SRS-22 self-image, mental health, and total scores were similar between these groups. Lonner et al. demonstrated that patients with SK experience more pain and have decreased self-image perception compared to their normal counterparts and that patients with SK had a greater clinical impact on SRS-22 outcomes (10). In addition, the total SRS-22 scores of the patients with SK were worse than those in the control group. The results of the present study demonstrated that patients with PK had similar self-image perceptions as patients with SK and had similar mental health and total SRS-22 scores. These results show that the underestimation of PK as a deformity may affect the HRQoL of adolescents with PK.

Because AIS is the most common spinal deformity in adolescents, age- and sex-matched groups were formed using PK in our study (4,13,15). In addition, we only included patients with AIS and thoracic deformities to make an ideal comparison. Comparison of the SRS-22 scores of the matched PK and AIS groups revealed that patients with PK had better scores in SRS-22 function and pain scores. Although the PK group had a significantly smaller coronal plane deformity, the SRS-22 self-image, mental health, and total scores were similar between these groups. Previous studies demonstrated a relationship between Cobb angle magnitude and SRS-22 scores (5,6,23). In our study, although the coronal plane deformities in patients with PK were smaller than those in patients with AIS, we can associate similar SRS-22 scores with the sagittal plane deformities in patients with PK.

The results of our study revealed that postural kyphosis may negatively affect the HRQoL in adolescents, although to a lesser extent than AIS or SK. According to the SRS-22 results, patients with PK experienced less pain and were more active than patients with SK and AIS, but the scores of the other domains were similar. This indicates that the effect of PK on HRQoL should not be underestimated in adolescents.

Our study had several limitations. First, we did not evaluate the progression of deformities at the time we measured HRQoL which could affect the answers of the patients owing to its cross-sectional design. Second, because this was a single-center study, some sociocultural or socioeconomic differences may have affected the results of our study. In our opinion, because our hospital is easily accessible in the country's capital and serves different socio-cultural groups, this limitation may have only minimally affected our results.

CONCLUSION

PK is a spinal problem frequently encountered in adolescents. The HRQoL of patients with PK is reduced, similar to that of patients with common structural spinal deformities. Recognizing PK as a serious spinal condition will help physicians to improve the quality of life of these patients.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Study conception and design: OE, TE

Data collection: OE, OLK

Analysis and interpretation of results: UY, AMB

Draft manuscript preparation: OE, OLK

Critical revision of the article: OE, TE

Other (study supervision, fundings, materials, etc...): UY, AMB

All authors (OE, UY, OLK, AMB, TE) reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Abd-Eltawab AE, Ameer MA: The efficacy of Theraband versus general active exercise in improving postural kyphosis. *J Bodyw Mov Ther* 25:108-112, 2021
2. Abelin-Genevois K: Sagittal balance of the spine. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 107:102769, 2021
3. Alanay A, Cil A, Berk H, Acaroglu RE, Yazici M, Akcali O, Kosay C, Genc Y, Surat A: Reliability and validity of adapted Turkish Version of Scoliosis Research Society-22 (SRS-22) questionnaire. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 30:2464-2468, 2005
4. Altaf F, Gibson A, Dannawi Z, Noordeen H: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *BMJ* 346:f2508, 2013
5. Asher M, Min Lai S, Burton D, Manna B: Scoliosis research society-22 patient questionnaire: Responsiveness to change associated with surgical treatment. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 28:70-73, 2003
6. Berliner JL, Verma K, Lonner BS, Penn PU, Bharucha NJ: Discriminative validity of the Scoliosis Research Society 22 questionnaire among five curve-severity subgroups of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine J* 13:127-133, 2013
7. Carreon LY, Sanders JO, Diab M, Sucato DJ, Sturm PF, Glassman SD, Spinal Deformity Study Group: The minimum clinically important difference in Scoliosis Research Society-22 appearance, activity, and pain domains after surgical correction of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 35:2079-2083, 2010
8. Endo K, Suzuki H, Nishimura H, Tanaka H, Shishido T, Yamamoto K: Sagittal lumbar and pelvic alignment in the standing and sitting positions. *J Orthop Sci* 17:682-686, 2012
9. Garrido E, Roberts SB, Duckworth A, Fournier J: Long-term follow-up of untreated Scheuermann's kyphosis. *Spine Deform* 9:1633-1639, 2021
10. In TS, Jung JH, Jung KS, Cho HY: Spinal and pelvic alignment of sitting posture associated with smartphone use in adolescents with low back pain. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18:8369, 2021

11. Jung SH, Hwang UJ, Kim JH, Gwak GT, Kwon OY: Effect of improved thoracic kyphosis on forward shoulder posture after mobilization in individuals with thoracic hyperkyphosis. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)* 97:105707, 2022
12. Kamali F, Shirazi SA, Ebrahimi S, Mirshamsi M, Ghanbari A: Comparison of manual therapy and exercise therapy for postural hyperkyphosis: A randomized clinical trial. *Physiother Theory Pract* 32:92-97, 2016
13. Konieczny MR, Senyurt H, Krauspe R: Epidemiology of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *J Child Orthop* 7:3-9, 2013
14. Lonner B, Yoo A, Terran JS, Sponseller P, Samdani A, Betz R, Shuffelbarger H, Shah SA, Newton P: Effect of spinal deformity on adolescent quality of life: Comparison of operative scheuermann kyphosis, adolescent idiopathic scoliosis, and normal controls. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 38:1049-1055, 2013
15. Lonstein JE: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Lancet* 344:1407-1412, 1994
16. Lowe TG, Line BG: Evidence based medicine: Analysis of Scheuermann kyphosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 32(19 Suppl):S115-119, 2007
17. Maciarczyk-Paprocka K, Stawińska-Witoszyńska B, Kotwicki T, Sowińska A, Krzyżaniak A, Walkowiak J, Krzywińska-Wiewiorowska M: Prevalence of incorrect body posture in children and adolescents with overweight and obesity. *Eur J Pediatr* 176:563-572, 2017
18. Parent EC, Hill D, Mahood J, Moreau M, Raso J, Lou E: Discriminative and predictive validity of the scoliosis research society-22 questionnaire in management and curve-severity subgroups of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 34:2450-2457, 2009
19. Parrish AM, Trost SG, Howard SJ, Batterham M, Cliff D, Salmon J, Okely AD: Evaluation of an intervention to reduce adolescent sitting time during the school day: The 'Stand Up for Health' randomised controlled trial. *J Sci Med Sport* 21:1244-1249, 2018
20. Petcharaporn M, Pawelek J, Bastrom T, Lonner B, Newton PO: The relationship between thoracic hyperkyphosis and the Scoliosis Research Society outcomes instrument. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 32:2226-2231, 2007
21. Sorensen KH: Scheuermann's Juvenile Kyphosis. Copenhagen: Munksgaard, 1964
22. Toombs C, Lonner B, Shah S, Samdani A, Cahill P, Shuffelbarger H, Yaszay B, Sponseller B, Newton P: Quality of life improvement following surgery in adolescent spinal deformity patients: A comparison between scheuermann kyphosis and adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine Deform* 6:676-683, 2018
23. Watanabe K, Hasegawa K, Hirano T, Uchiyama S, Endo N: Use of the scoliosis research society outcomes instrument to evaluate patient outcome in untreated idiopathic scoliosis patients in Japan: Part I: Comparison with nonscoliosis group: Preliminary/limited review in a Japanese population. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 30:1197-1201, 2005
24. Zapata KA, Jo C, Carreon LY, Johnston CE: Reliability and validity of a kyphosis-specific spinal appearance questionnaire. *Spine Deform* 9:933-939, 2021