Advanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging Findings of Multinodular and Vacuolating Neuronal Tumor
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To present the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings of a multinodular and vacuolating neuronal tumor (MVNT).

MATERIAL and METHODS: The authors identified four patients with MVNT in the hospital between January 2015 and October 2019. Both the clinical and radiological data of the patients were collected for analysis.

RESULTS: Three patients complained of non-specific headaches. One patient had vertigo and imbalance. MRI sequences, including spectroscopy, perfusion, and DWI sequences, were retrospectively evaluated. The lesions were located in the subcortical and periventricular white matter of the parietal and temporal lobes, showed confluency, and comprised nodular pattern. The lesions appeared isointense to the cerebral cortex on T1 weighted imaging and hyperintense on T2 weighted and FLAIR sequences. None of the lesions showed diffusion restriction or contrast enhancement. Three of the lesions demonstrated a slight increase in choline peak and a slight decrease in N-acetyl aspartate peak. One lesion showed a noticeable increase in the Cho peak and a decrease in the NAA peak.

CONCLUSION: Radiological features of MVNT are specific. Recognizing the MRI findings would help avoid unnecessary interventions in these patients, who are usually asymptomatic.
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INTRODUCTION

The multinodular and vacuolating neuronal tumor (MVNT) was first described pathologically as a benign neuronal lesion by Huse et al. in 2013, after working on a series of ten patients (8). MVNT is located in cerebral hemispheres, mainly in the temporal and parietal lobes, with a predilection for subcortical white matter and deep cortical layers (13). The middle age group is usually affected. Clinically, patients are generally asymptomatic, and the lesion is noticed incidentally in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), although some may show seizures or seizure equivalents (1,8,13). Since MVNT is a newly defined entity with a limited number of cases in the literature, awareness of this diagnosis is low among radiologists and clinicians. Therefore, to demonstrate the nature of this entity, advanced MRI sequences are usually employed. The literature reports insufficient and contradicting information about the advanced MRI findings of MVNT. With...
this case series, the authors intend to demonstrate and discuss the radiologic features of MVNT, including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), MR spectroscopy, and MR perfusion imaging.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

The electronic information system of the hospital was retrospectively searched from January 2015 to October 2019. Four patients were identified with MVNT. Two experienced neuroradiologists retrospectively reanalyzed the conventional and advanced MRI examinations of the four patients. The location, size, shape, contour, signal intensity, contrast enhancement, diffusion characteristics, perfusion, and spectroscopic characteristics of the lesions were analyzed. The patients’ demographic findings, such as age and sex, history, clinical and laboratory findings, were retrieved from the Radiological Information System (RIS).

**RESULTS**

One of the four patients was male, while the remaining three were females. The median age of the patients was 45 (40–52 years). One patient had vertigo, and the other three patients had headaches. One out of the four patients had a history of trauma dating back to five years ago, and one of them had hypertension. None of the patients presented focal neurologic symptoms. The whole blood count and biochemical findings of all the patients were normal. Since the patients did not undergo biopsy or operation, pathology results were not obtained. Typical MRI findings helped accomplish the patients’ diagnosis, and no changes were observed in the 1–3 years radiological follow-up.

**MRI Findings**

All the patients underwent contrast-enhanced cranial MRI, DWI, and multivoxel spectroscopic examinations. Only one patient (Case 1) underwent an MR perfusion examination. The lesions’ MRI findings were similar as they were all located in the cortical-juxtacortical white matter with cluster formation, consisting of multiple round or oval nodules. All the lesions were identified as iso-hypointense on T1 and hyperintense on T2 weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence. The lesions did not show mass effect, edema, diffusion restriction, or contrast enhancement. Three of the lesions (Case 1,2,4) demonstrated a slight increase in choline (Cho) peak and a slight decrease in N-acetyl aspartate (NAA) peak. One of the lesions showed (Case 3) a notable increase in Cho peak and a reduction in NAA peak. The cerebral blood volume (CBV) measurements MR perfusion showed a slightly decreased perfusion than the rest of the brain in one of the lesions.

**Case 1:** The lesion was located in the right parietal lobe, extending from the subcortical to the periventricular white matter. It measured 38x28 mm, forming clusters of millimetric sized peripheral satellite nodules (Figure 1A). The MR perfusion CBV map of the lesion showed slightly decreased perfusion than the rest of the brain (Figure 1B). The MR spectroscopy revealed a slight increase in Cho peak (Cho/Cr was 1.33) and a slight decrease in NAA peak (Cho/NAA was 0.71) (Figure 1C). No progression in the lesion was detected in the three years follow-up.

**Case 2:** The lesion was located in the left parietal lobe, within the cortical-subcortical white matter, measuring 27x18 mm (Figure 2A, B). The deep layers of the surrounding cortex were
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affected and appeared thinner. The MR spectroscopy revealed an increase in Cho peak (Cho/Cr was 1.18) and a decrease in NAA peak (Cho/NAA was 1.01) (Figure 2C). In the five year follow-up, no change in the lesion was detected. The patient’s diagnosis was made in the last two years, previously reported as a non-specific lesion with benign nature.

Case 3: The lesion was located in the right parahippocampal region, measuring 54x28 mm. The hippocampal cortex appeared thin, and there were multiple millimetric satellite nodules around the cortex (Figure 3A, B). Cho was prominently increased (Cho/Cr was 1.74), and NAA was decreased (Cho/NAA was 2.00) (Figure 3C). The one-year follow-up did not show any change in the lesion.

Case 4: The lesion was located in the left parietal lobe of the subcortical white matter and measured 25x26 mm, forming clusters (Figure 4A, B). The MR spectroscopy revealed a minimal increase in Cho peak (Cho/Cr was 1.03) and a minimal decrease in NAA peak (Cho/NAA was 0.65) (Figure 4C). No progression in the lesion change was detected in the one-year follow-up.
DISCUSSION

MNVT was first described as a histopathologically different, purely neuronal benign entity by Huse et al. (8). WHO categorized MVNT under the neuronal and mixed neuronal-glial tumors of the 2016 CNS tumor classification. MVNT was considered a low-grade lesion, possibly related to ganglion cell tumors or even a malformation (10). In 2019, the definition was updated, and it was considered a distinctive neuronal tumor (14).

Since the first description of MVNT, two extensive case studies, including 24 and 33 cases, have been published in the radiology literature and some case reports (1,13). However, these reports do not mention the advanced MRI findings of the lesions. In this study, the MR spectroscopy and perfusion characteristics of MVNT are demonstrated in addition to the conventional MRI findings.

MVNT is usually asymptomatic and detected incidentally (1). In symptomatic patients, seizures and seizure equivalents are the most common symptoms. Since the condition is mostly asymptomatic, the real prevalence is not known. Furthermore, being a newly defined entity, the disease can be misdiagnosed because of the relatively low awareness. Lesions are usually supratentorial, located in the temporal lobe. The literature describes a few cases where the lesions are localized in the hippocampus (8,16). One of the cases described here also involved the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus.

The lesions are usually not evident in a CT. Yet, as described by Nagaishi et al., and Osborn et al., in some cases, the lesion can be detected as a non-calcified, non-enhancing hypoattenuating lesion in the subcortical white matter (12,13).

MRI manifestations of the lesions are specific and diagnostic. These are typically clumped multinodular lesions with satellite nodules located in the deep cortical ribbon and superficial subcortical white matter. Lesions are unilateral with no mass effect. They are iso-hypointense on T1, hyperintense on T2 weighted, and FLAIR sequences. The lesions are not tumefactive because of the partial volume effect, even if the small nodules show coalescence. The lesions typically do not show contrast enhancement or diffusion restriction (9,13). However, the literature describes one lesion that exhibited minimal enhancement (13). Susceptibility weighted imaging does not demonstrate intratumoral hemorrhage or calcification (1,9).

The literature reports only a little information about the spectroscopic findings of MNVT. Lecler et al. stated that there is no Cho peak with minimal decrease in NAA; thus, enabling the radiologists to diagnose the lesion (9) confidently. Neither of the two cases described by Gokce revealed a meaningful metabolite peak (7). In one of the cases described in this paper, a prominent rise in Cho’s peak with a decrease in NAA (Cho/NAA 2) was observed. Similarly, in the histopathologically confirmed MVNT case presented by Nagashi et al., the MR spectroscopy revealed an increased Cho peak and a decreased NAA. The patient was operated based on suspicion of a glial tumor. Even though the case was not histopathologically confirmed, the MRI findings were typical for MVNT, and no progression in the one-year follow-up.

In the other three cases, an increased Cho was detected, although not as prominent as the previous case, with decreased NAA. In the case presented by Huse et al. and in the other three cases in the literature, a relative increase in Cho peak and a decrease in NAA was reported (5,8,11). While Huse and Fukushima did not provide a numerical value, Makrakis said a Cho/Cr 1.36 and a Cho/NAA 0.77 in the lesion.
inconveniently. MVNT is defined as a "leave me alone" lesion and or seizure equivalents. Asymptomatic cases are detected and MVNT can rarely be symptomatic and present with seizures caused by the surrounding edema. They contain cystic and solid components, which can show enhancement and can calcify (15).

Ganglion cell tumors are usually located in the temporal lobe showing vacuolating degeneration, is common, besides extracellular vacuolation. The cells do not show mitosis or atypia. The area surrounding the brain parenchyma is usually normal but can also be gliotic on rare occasions (3,8,12).

MVNT is positive for some neuronal markers like OLIG2 and synaptophysin but negative for GFAP and NeuN. MVNT is delineated as a neoplasm that causes activation of the Ras-Raf-MAP kinase signaling pathway. Genetic features of MVNT depict familiarity with gangliogliomas, pilocytic astrocytomas, and dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors (14).

The primary differential diagnosis of MVNT is dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors (DNETs). DNET is commonly localized in the temporal and frontal lobes, affecting the cortex with a bubbly appearance. The bright rim sign and mixed-signal intensity in FLAIR, with some parts being suppressed and contrast enhancement, make the differential diagnosis easier. MR spectroscopy findings are non-specific; a lactate peak (2). Increased Cho makes it difficult for the radiologists to differentiate between different types of lesions. The area surrounding the brain parenchyma is usually normal but can also be gliotic on rare occasions (3,8,12).

MVNT is positive for some neuronal markers like OLIG2 and synaptophysin but negative for GFAP and NeuN. MVNT is delineated as a neoplasm that causes activation of the Ras-Raf-MAP kinase signaling pathway. Genetic features of MVNT depict familiarity with gangliogliomas, pilocytic astrocytomas, and dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors (14).

The primary differential diagnosis of MVNT is dysembryoplastic neuroepithelial tumors (DNETs). DNET is commonly localized in the temporal and frontal lobes, affecting the cortex with a bubbly appearance. The bright rim sign and mixed-signal intensity in FLAIR, with some parts being suppressed and contrast enhancement, make the differential diagnosis easier. MR spectroscopy findings are non-specific; a lactate peak can be seen (6,13). Other lesions to be considered in the differential diagnosis are ganglion cell tumors (gangliocytoma/ganglioglioma) (5), low-grade glioma (6), focal cortical dysplasia (FCD), and periventricular space (PVS) (13). Transmantle signs accompanying FCD may help in the differential diagnosis (13). The typical PVS locations, cystic appearance in T2 weighted sequences, and symmetric distribution are helpful in the differential diagnosis (1,6). Low-grade gliomas are white matter lesions with mass effect and minimal contrast enhancement (4). Ganglion cell tumors are usually located in the temporal lobe cortex. They contain cystic and solid components, which can show enhancement and can calcify (15).

MVNT can rarely be symptomatic and present with seizures or seizure equivalents. Asymptomatic cases are detected incidentally. MVNT is defined as a “leave me alone” lesion and does not require biopsy or surgery in asymptomatic patients (13).

**CONCLUSION**

The clinical characteristics of MVNT are non-specific, but conventional MRI findings are leading and diagnostic. However, advanced MR imaging findings are still not agreed upon, and the radiologists should consider this to provide the correct diagnosis and prevent unnecessary interventional and surgical approaches.
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