



# Impact of Post-Master PhD Degree on Publication Productivity in Neurosurgery

Eyup BAYATLI<sup>1</sup>, Gokmen KAHIOLOGULLARI<sup>1</sup>, Murat ZAIMOGLU<sup>1</sup>, Yahya Efe GUNER<sup>2</sup>, Hasan Caglar UGUR<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ankara University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurosurgery, Ankara, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Yuksekk Ihtisas University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurosurgery, Ankara, Turkey

This study was partially presented in the Annual Meeting of the Turkish Neurosurgical Academy held between November 4 and 7, 2021 in Antakya, Turkey.

**Corresponding author:** Gokmen KAHIOLOGULLARI ✉ gokmenkahil@hotmail.com

## ABSTRACT

**AIM:** To evaluate the impact of the post-master PhD degree on publication productivity in neurosurgery.

**MATERIAL and METHODS:** An online national electronic survey was designed based on the recent literature and factors related to publication productivity. The survey was conducted to simply evaluate main bibliometrics of the participants consisting of neurosurgeons in different stages of their career. The survey was distributed via email to all Turkish Neurosurgical Society members.

**RESULTS:** A total of 220 neurosurgeons participated and answered the survey. Neurosurgeons that had published their Master's dissertation were found to have significantly higher number of published articles, citations and Hirsch (h)-index during their career ( $p < 0.001$ ). Neurosurgeons with a PhD degree who have been involved in such program were found to have significantly higher number of published articles and h-index ( $p < 0.01$ ). A majority of neurosurgeons who were involved in a PhD program were found to work in university hospitals (41.5%) and research and training hospitals (26.8%). Clinical anatomy, neuroscience, and molecular/genetic biology were the most common PhD programs.

**CONCLUSION:** Standardization in measurability of scientific productivity is mandatory to maintain stability and move further in academic activity. PhD programs have a significant contribution to academic performance and scientific productivity. Surgical residents and young neurosurgeons should be encouraged to be involved in such PhD training programs to promote success in both neurosurgery and scientific arenas.

**KEYWORDS:** Doctor of Philosophy, PhD, Bibliometrics, Publication, Productivity

**ABBREVIATIONS: MD-PhD:** Doctor of Medicine-Doctor of Philosophy, **h-index:** Hirsch index

## INTRODUCTION

Scholarly productivity is one of the main determinants of academic achievements (8,12). Publication productivity and factors that determine the involvement in such activity remain a major issue in academic research. Recently, many studies evaluated the association between any factors that may interfere with publication productivity of the individual

(8,12). Among such factors, the Doctor of Medicine-Doctor of Philosophy (MD-PhD) integrated programs are well evaluated (1,2,3,6). However, the impact of the PhD degree in societies where such programs are isolated and not integrated with MD programs is not generally known.

This study aimed to evaluate the association between PhD degree and publication productivity in neurosurgery.

Eyup BAYATLI : 0000-0001-6246-4247  
Gokmen KAHIOLOGULLARI : 0000-0001-8137-0510  
Murat ZAIMOGLU : 0000-0001-5330-1251

Yahya Efe GUNER : 0000-0001-9660-5941  
Hasan Caglar UGUR : 0000-0003-3728-4599

## MATERIAL and METHODS

An online national electronic survey was designed based on the recent literature and factors related to publication productivity. The survey was conducted to simply evaluate main bibliometrics of the participants consisting of neurosurgeons in different stages of their career. The survey was distributed via email to all Turkish Neurosurgical Society members.

The survey did not require any personal data. Questions were designed to collect information about the participant's age; career span; type of hospital he/she works; bibliometrics data such as number of articles, number of citations, Hirsch (h) index, and information regarding publication of both Master's and PhD (if applicable) dissertation and PhD programs. The survey was announced and published online between October 4 and 25, 2021. Records with missing data were totally excluded in the statistical evaluation.

Median, minimum, and maximum values were used in the descriptive statistics for continuous data, and percentage values were used for discrete data. Shapiro–Wilk test was

used to examine the conformity of continuous data to normal distribution. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare the h-index scores of the participants with the two independent variables, and the Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance was used to compare more than two variables. Kruskal–Wallis multiple comparisons test was used to evaluate variables that were found to be different. IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software was used during the statistical evaluation, and a P-value < 0.05 was accepted as the statistical significance limit.

## RESULTS

A total of 220 neurosurgeons participated and answered all survey. The institution that the participants work has been grouped into four: State, training & research, university hospitals, and private practice. Moreover, the higher title of the participant was determined to evaluate any relationship. The main characteristics of the participants are presented in Table I.

Bibliometrics, including the total number of published manuscripts, number of citations and h-index, were evaluated in detail. The h-index was questioned from three different databases (Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar), and the higher value was adopted during the statistical analysis (Table II). The survey asked the participants for the number of their published original studies and total articles (including case reports, letter to the editor, and reviews). About half of the participants (53.2%) reported that they have published their Master's dissertation in a peer-reviewed international journal. This parameter was also evaluated regarding its relationship with total published studies and h-index (Table III). Neurosurgeons that had published their Master's dissertation were found to have significantly higher number of published articles, citations and h-index during their career ( $p < 0.001$ ). Data on the association between the total number of published manuscripts and other variables are presented in Table IV.

Neurosurgeons that work in university hospitals were found to have significantly higher number of published articles and h-index compared to other groups ( $p < 0.001$ ). No significant difference was found between neurosurgeons with Professor or Associate Professor titles regarding both published article number and h-index ( $p > 0.05$ ). Although 20.5% of neurosurgeons who had published their Master's dissertation have been involved in a PhD program, this association was found insignificant ( $p > 0.05$ ). Neurosurgeons with a PhD degree who have been involved in such program were found to have significantly higher number of published articles and h-index ( $p < 0.01$ ) (Table V).

**Table I:** Main Characteristics of the Participants

| Characteristic                 | Mean ± SD                |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                | Median (Min-Max)         |
| Age (year)                     | 46.2 ± 9.3<br>45 (30-73) |
| Career span (year)             | 14.4 ± 9.8<br>12 (1-45)  |
|                                | n (%)                    |
| <b>Category of hospital</b>    |                          |
| State hospital                 | 20 (9.1)                 |
| Training and research hospital | 64 (29.1)                |
| University hospital            | 88 (40)                  |
| Private practice               | 48 (21.8)                |
| <b>Higher academic title</b>   |                          |
| Professor                      | 46 (20.9)                |
| Associate Professor            | 57 (25.9)                |
| Assistant Lecturer             | 37 (16.8)                |
| Instructor                     | 80 (36.4)                |

**Table II:** Bibliometrics of the Participants

|  | Median (Min-Max) |
|--|------------------|
| Total number of published international articles (including case reports, letter to the editor, reviews) | 15 (0–149)       |
| Published original studies   | 9 (0–120)        |
| Total citations  | 172 (0–3002)     |
| Higher Hirsh (h) index   | 4 (0–35)         |

**Table III:** Relationship Between Publishing the Master’s Dissertation and Total Published Studies, Citations and H-index

|  | Total published articles | Total citations  | h-index          |                   |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|  | Median (Min-Max)         | Median (Min-Max) | Median (Min-Max) | p value           |
| <b>Publication status of the Master’s dissertation</b> |                          |                  |                  |                   |
| Yes  | 24 (0–149)               | 1424 (0–3002)    | 6 (0–35)         | <b>&lt;0.001*</b> |
| No   | 4 (0–118)                | 33 (0–862)       | 0 (0–28)         |                   |

\*Mann–Whitney U test.

**Table IV:** Relationship Between Total Number of Published Articles and Other Variables

|   | Total published articles | Published original studies |                    |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
|   | Median (Min-Max)         | Median (Min-Max)           | p value            |
| <b>Category of hospital</b>                           |                          |                            |                    |
| State hospital <sup>a</sup>                           | 3 (0–26)                 | 1 (0–1)                    | a–c p<0.001        |
| Training and research hospital <sup>b</sup>           | 11 (0–103)               | 7 (0–74)                   | <b>&lt;0.001*</b>  |
| University hospital <sup>c</sup>                      | 26.5 (0–149)             | 16 (0–120)                 |                    |
| Private practice <sup>d</sup>                         | 4 (0–107)                | 2.5 (0–70)                 |                    |
| <b>Higher academic title</b>                          |                          |                            |                    |
| Professor <sup>a</sup>                                | 49.5 (0–149)             | 24.5 (0.120)               | a–c p<0.001        |
| Associate Professor <sup>b</sup>                      | 35 (10–100)              | 20 (7–60)                  | <b>&lt;0.001*</b>  |
| Assistant Lecturer <sup>c</sup>                       | 10 (0–35)                | 6 (0–23)                   |                    |
| Instructor <sup>d</sup>                               | 3 (0–33)                 | 1 (0–25)                   | b–d p<0.001        |
|   |                          |                            | c–d p<0.05         |
| <b>Publishing status of the Master’s dissertation</b> |                          |                            |                    |
| Yes   | 24 (0–149)               | 15 (0–120)                 | <b>&lt;0.001**</b> |
| No  | 4 (0–118)                | 3 (0–110)                  |                    |
| <b>Graduated from/involved in a PhD program</b>       |                          |                            |                    |
| Yes   | 30 (0–149)               | 17 (0–120)                 | <b>0.002**</b>     |
| No  | 14 (0–129)               | 8 (0–110)                  |                    |

\*Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance; \*\*Mann–Whitney U test.

Regarding PhD programs, 9.5% of neurosurgeons declared their current participation in a PhD program, whereas 9% reported their graduation from such program (Table VI). A majority of neurosurgeons who were involved in a PhD program were found to work in university hospitals (41.5%) and research and training hospitals (26.8%). Clinical anatomy, neuroscience, and molecular/genetic biology were the most common PhD programs. The statistical evaluation achieved

in the total participants who have been involved in PhD programs. Moreover, 2.3% of participants have been found to have a role as a lecturer in a PhD program.

**DISCUSSION**

Sustained research involvement and scientific productivity remain a major concern of the scholar public. The predictors

**Table V:** Relationship Between h-index and Other Variables

| Characteristic                                       | Median (Min-Max) | p value    |
|--|------------------|------------|
| <b>Category of hospital</b>                          |                  |            |
| State hospital <sup>a</sup>                          | 0 (0–7)          | <0.001*    |
| Training and research hospital <sup>b</sup>          | 2.5 (0–20)       |            |
| University hospital <sup>c</sup>                     | 7 (0–35)         |            |
| Private practice <sup>d</sup>                        | 0 (0–28)         |            |
| <b>Higher academic title</b>                         |                  |            |
| Professor <sup>a</sup>                               | 14 (0–35)        | <0.001*    |
| Associate Professor <sup>b</sup>                     | 7 (0–19)         |            |
| Assistant Lecturer <sup>c</sup>                      | 2 (0–10)         |            |
| Instructor <sup>d</sup>                              | 0 (0–7)          |            |
|  |                  | c–d p<0.05 |
| <b>Published status of the Master’s dissertation</b> |                  |            |
| Yes  | 6 (0–35)         | <0.001**   |
| No   | 0 (0–28)         |            |
| <b>Graduated from/involved in a PhD program</b>      |                  |            |
| Yes  | 7 (0–35)         | 0.003**    |
| No   | 3 (0–28)         |            |

\*Kruskal–Wallis analysis of variance, \*\*Mann–Whitney U test.

**Table VI:** Distribution of the Graduated/Involved PhD Programs of the Participants

|                                     | Already involved in the PhD program n (%) | PhD graduate n (%) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| Clinical anatomy                    | 8 (38)                                    | 6 (30)             |
| Neuroscience                        | 5 (23.8)                                  | 2 (10)             |
| Molecular/genetic/stem cell biology | 3 (14.3)                                  | 1 (5)              |
| Neuro-endocrinology                 | -   | 3 (15)             |
| Physiology                          | 1 (4.8)                                   | 2 (10)             |
| Pharmacology                        | -   | 2 (10)             |
| Public Health                       | -   | 1 (5)              |
| Immunology                          | -   | 1 (5)              |
| Biochemistry                        | 1 (4.8)                                   | -                  |
| Morphology                          | -   | 1 (5)              |
| Histology                           | 1 (4.8)                                   | -                  |
| Translational medicine              | 1 (4.8)                                   | -                  |
| Unknown (missed data)               | 1 (4.8)                                   | 1 (5)              |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                        | <b>21 (100)</b>                           | <b>20 (100)</b>    |

and quantifiers of such productivity gained much attention recently (8,14). However, identification of such factors that influence commitment to academics in neurosurgery is not sufficiently known (9,10). Related factors should be determined in detail to support and increase such activities.

In Turkey, integrated MD-PhD programs are not steadily established yet. However, individuals have the opportunity to be involved in PhD programs after graduation from Master's degree programs. This study was conducted to compare such individuals that have been involved in PhD programs regarding publication productivity.

Physicians with MD-PhD training constitute the majority of neurosurgeons since 1990 (3). Moreover, neurosurgeons are known to significantly undertake additional academic degrees besides the residency during their career (3,13). It was emphasized that individuals with MD-PhD degrees have an increased tendency toward research-oriented careers (1). Choi et al. reported MD-PhD trained neurosurgeons to have a greater proportion of academic positions compared with MD-only peers (73.7% versus 52.3%, respectively;  $p < 0.001$ ) (3). Our results also reflect significantly more PhD involved neurosurgeons working in university hospitals and presenting in academic positions.

Bibliometrics have gained much popularity due to the need of establishing standardization in the measurability of scientific productivity. Keough et al. reported that neurosurgeons with MD-PhD degrees outperform the MD-only group in almost all bibliometrics (6). It may be expected for MD-PhD neurosurgeons to have higher academic productivity due to involvement in both clinic and scientific issues. However, 14–16% of integrated MD-PhD graduates have been reported not to pursue careers in research (2,11). Therefore, any factors that may interfere with such relationship should be evaluated in detail. Moreover, publication productivity is known to be positively affected by advanced academic rank (7). However, such relationship may be biased due to factors such as widened research network and gift authorship. Our findings support the data that a neurosurgeon with a PhD degree has higher scores regarding bibliometrics, such as published articles, citations and h-index.

In Turkey, it is mandatory to present and write a dissertation to finish any residency program but publication of such a dissertation, which is not an obligation, in a peer-reviewed journal might be considered a criterion toward publication productivity and involvement in academic research. Any attempt to publish such studies usually achieved soon after completion of the study. Our results suggest no association between the publishing status of the dissertation and involvement in a PhD program. However, the population who had published their dissertation presents with significantly higher total published studies and h-indexes. Thus, this supports the idea that such population may have a higher tendency toward publication productivity.

The positive relationship between PhD degree and publication productivity in neurosurgery seems to provide a clue regarding

how to increase and support such activity. As previously reported, neurosurgery residents with an h-index  $> 2$  before graduation were independently associated with academic career placement (4,5). The same might be conducted to encourage residents to be involved in PhD programs. The findings of our study support the idea to establish integrated MD-PhD programs or enhance the funding for such programs in Turkish neurosurgical education.

As a limitation of our reported study, the self-report data could not be confirmed from bibliometric databases due to unknown personal information of the participant that was mandatory to provide totally blind survey.

## ■ CONCLUSION

Standardization in measurability of scientific productivity is mandatory to maintain stability and move further in academic activity. Interest in PhD programs continues to increase in neurosurgery as in other branches. PhD programs have a significant contribution to academic performance and scientific productivity. Surgical residents and young neurosurgeons should be encouraged to be involved in such PhD training programs to promote success in both neurosurgery and scientific arenas.

### AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Study conception and design: EB, GK, HCU

Data collection: EB, MZ, YEG

Analysis and interpretation of results: EB, GK

Draft manuscript preparation: EB, GK, MZ, YEG, HCU

Critical revision of the article: EB, GK, HCU

Other (study supervision, fundings, materials, etc...): EB, GK, MZ, YEG, HCU

All authors (EB, GK, MZ, YEG, HCU) reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

## ■ REFERENCES

1. Andriole DA, Whelan AJ, Jeffe DB: Characteristics and career intentions of the emerging MD/PhD workforce. *JAMA* 300:1165-1173, 2008
2. Brass LF, Akabas MH, Burnley LD, Engman DM, Wiley CA, Andersen OS: Are MD-PhD programmes meeting their goals? An analysis of career choices made by graduates of 24 MD-PhD programmes. *Acad Med* 85:692-701, 2010
3. Choi BD, DeLong MR, DeLong DM, Friedman AH, Sampson JH: Impact of PhD training on scholarship in a neurosurgical career. *J Neurosurg* 120:730-735, 2014
4. Crowley RW, Asthagiri AR, Starke RM, Zusman EE, Chiocca EA, Lonser RR: In-training factors predictive of choosing and sustaining a productive academic career path in neurological surgery. *Neurosurgery* 70:1024-1032, 2012
5. Daniels M, Garzon-Muvdi T, Maxwell R, Tamargo RJ, Huang J, Witham T, Bettgowda C, Chaichana KL: Preresidency publication number does not predict academic career placement in neurosurgery. *World Neurosurg* 101:350-356, 2017

6. Keough MB, Newell C, Rheaume AR, Sankar T: Association between graduate degrees and publication productivity in academic neurosurgery. *Can J Neurol Sci* 47:666-674, 2020
7. Khan N, Thompson CJ, Choudhri AF, Boop FA, Klimo P Jr: Part I: The application of the h-index to groups of individuals and departments in academic neurosurgery. *World Neurosurg* 80:759-765, 765.e1-765.e3, 2013
8. Khan NR, Thompson CJ, Taylor DR, Venable GT, Wham RM, Michael LM 2nd, Klimo P Jr: An analysis of publication productivity for 1225 academic neurosurgeons and 99 departments in the United States. *J Neurosurg* 120(3):746-755, 2014
9. Lawton MT, Narvid J, Quiñones-Hinojosa A: Predictors of neurosurgical career choice among residents and residency applicants. *Neurosurgery* 60:934-939, 2007
10. McClelland S III: Pre-residency peer-reviewed publications are associated with neurosurgery resident choice of academic compared to private practice careers. *J Clin Neurosci* 17:287-289, 2010
11. Skinnider MA, Squair JW, Twa DD: Canadian MD/PhD programmes train leaders in clinical and translational research: Outcomes from a national cross-sectional survey of alumni. *CMAJ Open* 5:E315-E321, 2017
12. Svider PF, Pashkova AA, Choudhry Z, Agarwal N, Kovalerchik O, Baredes S, Liu JK, Eloy JA: Comparison of scholarly impact among surgical specialties: An examination of 2429 academic surgeons. *Laryngoscope* 123(4):884-889, 2013
13. Tso MK, Max Findlay J, Lownie SP, Chris Wallace M, Toyota BD, Fleetwood IG: Recent trends in neurosurgery career outcomes in Canada. *Can J Neurol Sci* 8:1-7, 2019
14. Yakar F, Hanalioglu S, Sahin B, Egemen E, Dere UA, Kiraz İ, Coskun ME, Kahilogullari G: Academic performance after neurosurgery residency training in Turkey: A national survey. *Neurosurg Focus* 48(3):E8, 2020