Effect of Intravenous Tranexamic Acid on Intracerebral Brain Hemorrhage in Traumatic Brain Injury
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To determine the effect of Intravenous Tranexamic Acid (TXA) on traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage.

MATERIAL and METHODS: A total of 94 cases of TBI with intracerebral hemorrhage, admitted to the emergency department who did not need surgical intervention based on a primary brain spiral computed tomography (CT) scan, were randomly assigned into two groups of 47 patients. In the intervention group, intravenous TXA was administered as one gram of bolus and one gram every 6 hours for 48 hours, and in the control group, the placebo was administered in the same way. After 6, 24, and 48 hours all the cases underwent a brain CT scan. Scans were examined for the size and diameter of hematoma and the midline shift. The information regarding the level of consciousness, hematoma volume, and diameter on CT scan were recorded on arrival and 48 hours later.

RESULTS: Statistical results depict that while there was no considerable difference in the demographic aspect of the two groups, the volume and diameter of hematoma and the midline shift in the first CT scans and also their level of consciousness, the diameter and volume of hematoma and also the amount of hematoma expansion in follow up are significantly different in the two groups.

CONCLUSION: The present study showed that the prescription of TXA would reduce the amount of hematoma expansion in traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage, and that medication can be introduced to reduce morbidity and complications.

KEYWORDS: Traumatic Brain Injury, Intracerebral Hemorrhage, Tranexamic Acid

INTRODUCTION

One of the significant health issues worldwide is traumatic brain injury (TBI), according to the World Health Organization (WHO) statistics, and is one of the leading causes of death (9). The most common causes of TBI are vehicle collisions, falling from a height, beating injuries, sports injuries, and penetrating trauma. TBI is more common in people younger than 35 years of age with 2:1 Male to female ratio (14). TBI refers to the change in the function and pathology of the brain caused by an external force (13). TBI is caused by a direct impact to the head and neck or by a sudden motion of the head and neck. Head trauma can lead to a fracture in the skull, facial bones, and spinal vertebrae, a tear in the skin and other tissues, intracranial hemorrhage or diffuse axonal injuries (19). The most common complication associated with TBI is hemorrhage. If hematoma expansion can be prevented, not only will the morbidity rate decrease, but also the need for surgery (4). A brain inflicted with traumatic injuries will experience bleeding, inflammation, and edema. As a result, the intracranial pressure will increase. Subsequently, hypoxia, brain ischemia, and brain herniation are the irreparable damages that can lead to brain death (3). Intracerebral hematoma also includes brain contusion, which is a mixture of blood and natural tissue of the brain. Hemorrhage in either the white matter or the gray matter can lead to the expansion of the brain and mass effect, thus resulting in complications (21).
Surgical operations serve to save lives, relieve symptoms, and prevent complications. In cases of large hematoma, mass effect, impending brain herniation, pressure on the brain stem, or hydrocephalus, surgery is the top priority (2,15). With respect to small hematomas or minor neurological deficits medication can be used as treatment. In recent decades there have been numerous interventional studies on medical treatment of brain damage (7). Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an antifibrinolytic agent used for the reduction of bleeding in different surgical operations. Previous studies have revealed that TXA decreases bleeding and lessens the need for blood transfusion without bringing about any serious side effects (1,18). This article aimed to evaluate the effect of TXA on the fate of TBI-induced intracerebral hemorrhage.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

The current study is a prospective, double-blind, randomized clinical trial in which patients with intracerebral hemorrhage, following TBI, who did not require surgical intervention, were enrolled. This study was approved by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR. AJUMS. RES.1398.533). Informed consent was obtained from the patients or those accompanying the patient after explaining the procedure and its purpose.

Inclusion criteria in the current study were the presence of intracerebral hemorrhage, which did not indicate surgery in the initial computed tomography (CT) scan, and being between 16 to 65 years of age.

Exclusion criteria were patients with GCS of 3, patients requiring surgery for hematoma evacuation in the initial CT scan upon arrival, being under 16 or over 65 years of age, having macroscopic hematuria, history of anticoagulant medication, active vascular thrombosis or a history of it, hypercoagulative state, congenital or acquired hypercoagulopathy, history of vascular disease, valvular heart disease, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, being pregnant or breastfeeding, having a history of an allergic reaction to TXA, history of seizure or brain stroke, and patients’ or relatives objection. The indications for a surgical operation following the assessment of the CT scans were intracerebral hemorrhage volume over 50 CC, intracerebral hemorrhage in frontal and temporal lobe with volume over 20 CC and GCS score 6-8 and midline shift of 5 mm or more or cisternal compression, progressive neurological deterioration referable to a lesion, medically refractory intracranial hypertension, or mass effect on CT scans.

The study was performed in the department of neurosurgery, Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. Ninety-four patients or those accompanying the patient after explaining the procedure and its purpose.

In the present study, in both control and intervention groups, the number of men was more than women, about 4-5 times more. Also, the average age of both groups was about 36 years, and was not significantly different between the intervention and control (Table I).

In the last CT scan, the results of the hematoma dimensions were similar in both groups and did not differ significantly in any of the width, length, height, and volume items. In the CT on Time 24, as is indicated in Table II, there is a significant increase of hematoma compared to the intervention group (p<0.05) similar to the second CT results, and in the last CT scan, the hematoma was significantly lesser in length (p=0.09), height (p=0.04), and volume (p=0.01) in the intervention group compared to the control. It was also observed in the control group, that the dimensions of the hematoma in the second and last CT scan had a notable increase in volume whereas this alteration did not occur in the intervention group. The dimension of the hematoma in the second CT did not change significantly compared to the last CT (Table II).

When evaluating hematoma growth rates between the first and last CT scans, it was noted that the mean volume change in the intervention group was significantly lower than in the control group; hematoma growth was 1.17 ± 2.53 ml in the intervention group and 6.85 ± 11.77 ml in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in thecontrol group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002). The occurrence of hematoma expansion was noted in 14 patients in the intervention group and 17 patients in the control group. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.002).
scans, but this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.36).

Examination of patient consciousness based on GCS in both groups revealed an increase in scores of about 11 to 14 from admission to discharge. The level of consciousness was not significantly different between the two groups at the time of admission (p=0.91), after 24 hours (p=0.53) and at the time of discharge (p=0.49) (Table IV).

**DISCUSSION**

TXA is an antifibrinolytic agent used for the reduction of bleeding in different surgical operations. It serves as an antifibrinolytic by blocking the lysine binding sites of plasminogen molecules and preventing plasminogen transformation to plasmin. As a result, this prevented fibrin degradation and preserved the framework of fibrin matrix structure. Previous studies have revealed that TXA decreases bleeding and lessens the need for blood transfusion without causing any severe side effects (10,18). In cases of severe traumatic bleeding, early administration (within 3 hours) of TXA reduces the possibility of death by acute blood loss (5).

In this study, the effects of TXA on intracerebral hemorrhage due to TBI were analyzed. Demographic data, level of consciousness upon admission, hematoma diameters, volume, and midline shift in the first CT scans of the intervention and control groups showed no significant differences. While the occurrences of hematoma expansion and surgical intervention did not show any significant difference between the two groups, the hematoma diameters and volume in the second and last CT scans were significantly different between the two groups. The researchers in the present study have proved that TXA can reduce the amount of hematoma growth in traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage during 24 hours. No noteworthy differences in level of consciousness upon discharge, improvement in consciousness, and midline shift in the last CT scans were indicated regarding TXA consumption. Thus, although TXA can prevent an increase in the size of the hematoma, the clinical results do not show significant changes from admission to discharge.

Yuthakasemsunt et al. reported a 9% reduction in progressive intracranial hemorrhage and also a reduction in death and unfavorable outcomes in patients with moderate to severe TBI who received TXA, but these changes were not statistically significant (22). Jokar et al., in a double-blind study, examined the effect of TXA in reducing hematoma expansion in patients with traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage. Forty-eight hours after admission, the mean increase in hematoma volume in

**Table I:** Demographic Information of the Intervention and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>36.2 ± 15.1 years</td>
<td>36.4 ± 14.1 years</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table II:** Hematoma Diameter Difference in the Initial, 24 Hours Later and Discharge in the Intervention and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hematoma</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Width (mm)</td>
<td>15.1 ± 7.3</td>
<td>15.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>16.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td>19.0 ± 8.3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>16.3 ± 7.8</td>
<td>19.4 ± 11.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (mm)</td>
<td>18.7 ± 8.5</td>
<td>19.3 ± 9.3</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>19.6 ± 11.1</td>
<td>23.6 ± 12.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>20.1 ± 9.5</td>
<td>24.9 ± 13.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (mm)</td>
<td>22.3 ± 11.3</td>
<td>23.1 ± 9.7</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>23.4 ± 8.8</td>
<td>28.3 ± 12.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>23.4 ± 11.8</td>
<td>29 ± 14.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (ml)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 6</td>
<td>5.2 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>6.0 ± 9.3</td>
<td>12.3 ± 11.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>6.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>12.1 ± 14.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table III:** Midline Shift Difference Between the Intervention and Control Groups at the First, Second and Last CT Scan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Midline Shift at the Time 0</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.9 mm</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8 mm</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline Shift at the Time 24</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midline Shift at the Time 48</td>
<td>0.6 ± 1.8 mm</td>
<td>0.9 ± 2.3 mm</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table IV:** Level of Consciousness in the Intervention and Control Groups Upon Admission, 24 Hours Later and Discharge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCS upon admission</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.9</td>
<td>11.1 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS upon Time 24</td>
<td>12.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>11.1 ± 2.6</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS upon discharge</td>
<td>14.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>13.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the intervention group was significantly lower than the control group (p=0.04) (12). In a study by Perel et al., the effect of TXA on a hematoma in traumatic brain patients was investigated. This study showed that the use of TXA in the intervention group reduced the hematoma volume and mortality compared to the control group (16). In their study, the amount of hematoma in the intervention group was significantly lower than the control group, which is in line with the results of our study. Zehtabchi et al. in a systematic review, based on data collected from studies using TXA for traumatic brain hemorrhage, concluded that in the group treated with TXA, the amount of intracranial hemorrhage progression was lower than the group that did not receive TXA, but the improvement of clinical outcomes was statistically non-significant (23) which is consistent with the results of the present study. In a study by Fakharian et al. the effect of low doses of TXA on hematoma caused by TBI was evaluated, and it can be concluded that growth of posttraumatic hemorrhagic lesions did not show a significant difference between the two groups (8) which is inconsistent with the results of the present study. Tabesh et al., in a clinical trial study, examined the effect of TXA on various types of cerebral hemorrhage in brain trauma. In this study, they evaluated 190 patients with brain traumas and concluded that the effect of TXA infusion in patients with TBI was more dependent on the location and type of lesion, and its effects were more pronounced in patients with brain contusion (11). This result is consistent with the present study. The CRASH-3 trial reported that following TBI, mortality and morbidity are significantly lower in patients receiving TXA. It was stated that the early administration of TXA (within 3 hours) could be more effective. Such methods are more effective in the treatment of mild and moderate cases of TBI rather than in severe cases (6). In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Weng et al. suggested that, in addition to the reduction of mortality rate and neurological complications, brain hematoma expansion in the recipients of TXA are significantly lower. The earlier the administration of TXA treatment, the smaller the size of hematoma will be (20). The aforementioned studies are in correlation with our study regarding hematoma growth. In the present study, even though the occurrence of expansion did not differ, the final hematoma volume and the amount of expansion were significantly lower in the TXA group.

In the present study, consciousness in the patients from both groups upon admission, after 24 hours and discharge was monitored. Data suggests there was no significant difference in the level of consciousness between the two groups at admission, after 24 hours and on discharge. Furthermore, after dividing patients into subgroups based on mild, moderate, and severe TBI (based on GCS upon admission), there was still no notable difference in the level of consciousness at admission and on discharge and improvement of consciousness. The importance of such categorization is because of the effectiveness of TXA in mild and moderate cases of a traumatic brain hemorrhage in studies reported recently (6).

Some studies state that TXA was effective in recovery of the level of consciousness (6,20), which is contrary to our findings. This difference can be due to the sample size and follow-up time. Further studies are warranted to arrive at a conclusion. Furthermore, it should be noted that in this study, the level of consciousness upon discharge from the hospital is a dependent variable on the patient condition and time of discharge. There are pre-required levels of consciousness for patient discharge. Therefore, in a sense, it is not a perfect variable for reviewing the recovery of the level of consciousness. Besides, no follow up was done after discharge resulting in a short follow up time for assessing the level of consciousness. It is more practical to assess the levels of consciousness based on specific time intervals for a more extended period, even after discharge. Also, other types of morbidity, such as neurological deficits were neither documented in this study nor other studies in the literature.

The necessity for surgery presented itself for 4 cases in the control group and 1 case in the intervention group. Statistically speaking, such difference is minor, but from a different perspective, it could be suggested that TXA in TBI hemorrhage cases can help in the prevention of hematoma expansion, and as a result, the need for surgery is lessened. Further investigation in future studies with a larger sample size is recommended. Meanwhile, it should be noted that the midline shift has not been evaluated to date, and this marks a positive point of the present research, although the small sample size of the study can influence the conclusions. Thus the main limitation of the present study is the small sample size, which should be taken into consideration in future studies.

Previous studies have mostly focused on epidural hematoma and subdural hematoma, extensive contusions and looked at patients based on their GCS level, while the purpose of this paper was to focus on intraparenchymal hemorrhage including low and mild contusion, for the first time, taking into account the need for surgery and in the continuation of other studies. Also, in this study, we examined whether the above drug can reduce the need for surgery while other researchers have not mentioned this aspect.

**CONCLUSION**

This study suggests that although the intravenous TXA has no impact on the occurrence of hematoma expansion, it can lead to less volume expansion and, eventually, smaller hematoma volume. TXA has no significant effect on the level of consciousness, morbidity, and overall outcome. Further studies are needed to evaluate the effect of TXA on the final results of traumatic intracerebral hemorrhage.
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