Effects of Tartrazine on Neural Tube Development in the Early Stage of Chicken Embryos
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ABSTRACT

AIM: To investigate the effects of tartrazine exposure on neural tube development, in early stage chicken embryos.

MATERIAL and METHODS: A total of 120 fertilized specific pathogen-free chicken eggs were divided into 4 equal groups (groups 1–4). After 30 hours of incubation, the eggs, except for the Group 1 (control group), were opened under 4X optical magnification. Group 2 was administered physiological saline. Group 3 was administered a middle dose of tartrazin (4.5 mg/kg) at a volume of 20 µL by the in ovo method, and group 4 was administered a high dose of tartrazine (7.5 mg/kg) using the same process. Incubation was continued until the end of the 72nd hour; all embryos were then removed from the eggs and histopathologically examined.

RESULTS: Of the 120 embryos incubated, normal development and the closed neural tubes were shown in all embryos in group 1; 23 in group 2; 19 in group 3 and; only 9 in group 4. Open neural tubes were found in; 4 embryos in group 2; 5 embryos in group 3 and; 13 embryos in group 4. The neural tube closure defect was found to be significantly higher in group 4 compared to the other groups (p<0.01).

CONCLUSION: Based on our data, tartrazine, as one of the widely used food coloring agent, was seen to cause a neural tube defect in the chicken embryo model.
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INTRODUCTION

Neural tube defects (NTD) occur as a result of disruption of the closure process of the neural tube between the 3rd and 4th weeks of gestation (7,18). It is well-known that neural tube closure is a vulnerable process, and therefore, external factors play an important role in the etiology of NTD's. Various studies on these factors including, drugs, maternal diabetes, food additives, and air pollution, are well reported in the literature (3,11,20,21). These studies are important because, the majority of the time, it is possible to avoid hazardous conditions by altering lifestyles or by enacting a change current regulations.
It is well accepted that coloring food can make it more aesthetically and psychologically attractive. Food coloring agents are routinely used to strengthen the weak natural color, and to give color to colorless foods. Thus, the addition of food coloring serves to make food more attractive and can mask low-quality food. Some coloring agents are derived from natural substances, such as carotene or chlorophyll; others are synthetically obtained, such as allura red, erythrosine, and tartrazine (24).

Tartrazine (E 107) is a yellow, water-soluble, anionic azodye, that is used to give yellow color to foods. Previous studies investigating tartrazine have reported a wide-range of side effects, including endocrine diseases to hematologic pathologies (1,23,24). Even though a few studies found that no developmental effects were observed in the fetus, we aimed to investigate the possible effects of tartrazine exposure on neural tube development.

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

This study was conducted in cooperation with the Neurosurgical Unit Research Laboratory of Bakirkoy Prof. Dr. Mazhar Osman Mental Health and Neurological Diseases Training and Research Hospital. Fertilized, specific pathogen-free gallus chicken eggs were obtained from the Poultry Research Institute, Ankara, Turkey.

**Incubation and Injection**

One hundred and twenty fertile, specific non-pathogenic, domestic fowl eggs (Atabey®, Gallus gallus, Poultry Research Institute, Ankara, Turkey) were used in the study. The eggs were incubated at 37.5°C and 75% humidity. At the eighth stage of the Hamburger and Hamilton classification, the eggs were sterilized with 70% alcohol and taped was applied on the outer shell. A window was opened on the eggshell. Using a sterile Hamburger® syringe, 20 μL of fluid was administrated sub-blastodermically (Figure 1). The holes were closed with a drape, and then the eggs were placed back into the incubator. After 72 hours of incubation (Hamburger Hamilton stage 12), the eggs were reopened. The viability of the embryos was assessed by the presence of a heartbeat. The embryos were transferred into a petri dish by dissecting, microsurgically, along the allantoic stalk (Figure 2A, B). All of the embryos were examined under a microscope (Leica DM 4000 - Germany). Results were analyzed in terms of neural tube closure (Figure 3A, B).

![Figure 1: Illustration of the sub-blastodermic injection and the features of stage 9 chicken embryo. (Hamburger - Hamilton classification).](image1)

![Figure 2: Re-opened embryos at stage 18 (A), and transferred embryo (B).](image2)
Study Groups

Eggs were assigned to one of four groups. Three eggs were sacrificed in the determination of stage. For this study, we used four experimental groups (2-4), and a single control group (Group 1), each containing 30 eggs/embryos (Table I). At the end of the 30th hour of the incubation, each egg in group 2 (n=30) was injected with 0.01 ml of saline. Eggs in group 3 were injected with 0.02 ml of a solution containing 450µg of tartrazine (middle dose). Eggs in group 4 were injected with 0.02 ml of a solution containing 750µg of tartrazine (high dose).

Pathological Evaluation

Formalin-fixed, embryo tissue samples were embedded in paraffin. Briefly, the embryos were dehydrated using ethanol solutions. After the dehydration, the embryos were incubated in xylene and then transferred into a paraffin embedding mixture. Tissue sections (5 µm thickness) were taken. After which, haematoxylin-eosin solution was applied to the tissue sections. Samples were evaluated under the microscope and assessed for decomposition of somite pairs and neural tube continuity.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical evaluations were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) V22.0 for Windows. The chi-square test was used to analyze group comparisons. A p-value of ≤ 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

In Group 1, two embryos (6.6%) were undeveloped and 28 embryos (95%) were intact. In Group 2, 3 embryos (10%) were undeveloped, 4 embryos developed NTD (13%) and 23 embryos (76.6%) were intact (p=0.3985). In Group 3, 5 embryos (16.6%) had NTD, 6 embryos (20%) were undeveloped, and 19 embryos (63%) were intact (p=0.1455). In Group 4, 13 embryos (43.3%) had NTD, 8 embryos (26.6%) were undeveloped, and 9 embryos (30%) were intact (p=0.0006) (Table II). These results were interpreted as a dose-dependent effect because the significance observed in the higher dose group.

Table I: Distribution of Tartrazin (E102) dosages in Different Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Control group, 0.01 ml of saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.5 mg/kg Tartrazine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.5 mg/kg Tartrazine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Number of Embryos with Neural Tube Closure or Developmental Defects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Neural tube defect</th>
<th>Undeveloped</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Illustration of re-opened embryo at stage 18 and the light microscope images of closed (A), and opened (B) neural tubes (HEx100) (Hamburger - Hamilton classification).
The worldwide incidence of NTD’s ranges from 1 to 10/1000 births (11,12,28). Turkey has an average incidence of 3-5.8/1000 births (25). Importantly, folic acid deficiency and anti-epileptic drug use are known to be the most important external factors in NTD’s (7,11). Studies shown that maternal age, maternal diabetes, exposure to chemicals, and air pollution also have negative effects on neural tube closure (3,18,20). It is almost impossible for a pregnant woman to avoid certain chemicals; especially when considering recent use rates of food additives and daily exposure. This is of particular importance in the first three weeks of gestation. Because pregnancy tests become positive after two weeks of gestation, many woman are unaware of a pregnancy status during this critical period of neural tube closure.

Food additives are used for flavoring, preservation, coloring, texturizing, and nutritive additions. Some coloring agents are derived from natural pigments, like carote or chlorophyll. However, coloring agents such as tartrazine, erythrosine, allura red are synthetically produced (10). Acceptable daily intake (ADI) of Tartrazine, established by the European food safety authority, is 7.5 mg/kg bw/day; however, the use of this additive is banned in Norway (6). In our study, we used the ADI dose as a high dose and demonstrated significant effects. Tartrazine is widely used as a yellow color additive in soft drinks, cotton candy, cereals, flavored corn chips, soups jams, noodles, sauces, etc. Additionally, it is found in soaps, cosmetic products, and crayons. Tartrazine is a nitrous azo dyes on CHO cells. J Verbr Lebensm 7:229-236, 2012 (CAS No. 1934-21-0) and New Coccin (CAS No. 2611-82-7) teratogenic potential of FD & C Yellow No. 5 when given by gavage to rats. Food Chem Toxicol 48(10):2994-2999, 2010

In multiple studies performed in rats, a significant rise in ALT, AST, and ALP levels was shown even with a low dose of tartrazine (1,14,24). Besides enzymatic effects, macroscopic effects were reported in the liver, including hemorrhaging reported by Himiri et al. (9). Visweswaran and Krishnamoorthy reported on the mutagenic effect of tartrazine (26). Whereas Tanaka reported the neurobehavioral effects on rats on high doses but stated that “...it is not likely to cause similar effects on humans”, because the effective dose was much higher than ADI dose (23). Contrary to this, Rowe and Rowe, and Ward reported that some children might show hyperactivity after tartrazine with consumption of an average dose (19,27).

In another study, evaluating the neurotoxic effect of tartrazine, a significant decrease in gamma-aminobutyric acid, dopamine, and serotonin levels were shown; in addition to an increase in the number of apoptotic cells in the cerebral cortex (15).

Previous studies examining the effects of tartrazine on development in rats reported that tartrazine showed no effect on development, which differ from our results (4,22). However, a more recent study investigating the effects of food additives’ on various organisms, including zebrafish, reported that 20% of the embryos showed developmental defects due to tartrazine exposure (16). An additional recent study, investigating rats, also demonstrated various embryotoxic and teratogenic outcomes (8). These recent results found that the potential role of tartrazine exposure needs further investigation.

Our study demonstrated that tartrazine causes NTD, at the ADI dose, in the chicken model of embryo development. Since this is the first study to demonstrate the effect of tartrazine on neural tube development, the potential mechanism of disruption on neural tube closing needs to be investigated. Among many other potential side effects, recent studies have suggested tartrazine may influence other the developmental processes; these potential effects also warrant further investigation.
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