Empty Bladed PEEK Cage for Interbody Fusion After Anterior Cervical Discectomy

Anterior Servikal Diskektomi Sonrası İnterbody Füzyon için Boş Bıçaklı PEEK Kafes Kullanımı

Haydar GOK¹, Mehmet Resit ONEN¹, Hatice YILDIRIM², Ilker GULEC³, Sait NADERI¹

- ¹Umraniye Training & Research Hospital, Department of Neurosurgery, Istanbul, Turkey
- ²Umraniye Training & Research Hospital, Department of Radiology, Istanbul, Turkey
- ³Antalya Training & Research Hospital, Department of Neurosurgery, Antalya, Turkey

Corresponding Author: Sait NADERI / E-mail: saitnaderi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

AIM: Cervical disc herniation (CDH) can be treated using different anterior and posterior methods. Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) is currently gold standard and provides bony fusion and good clinical outcome. Recently many studies reported good clinical and radiological outcomes in cases who underwent anterior cervical discectomy (ACD) and reconstruction with empty cage. This study aimed to review our results after cervical microdiscectomy reconstructed with empty polyether ether ketone (PEEK) cage.

MATERIAL and METHODS: Twenty-five cases with single level CDH who underwent microdiscectomy were included to this study. Reconstruction was performed using empty bladed cervical PEEK cages. Clinical (Visual analogue scale (VAS) and Odom scores) and radiological results (intervertebral disc and foraminal heights, mean cervical spine lordosis angle, and fusion rate) were reviewed one day and one year after surgery.

RESULTS: There were 18 males and 7 females, aged between 25 and 54 years (mean: 40.8). Mean neck and arm VAS scores reduced from 2.9 to 1.4, and from 7.2 to 1.8, respectively. Odom scores were found to be 1.6 and 1.4 at 1st day and one year postoperatively, respectively. Subsidence was seen in three cases (12%). There was no significant change in heights of neural foramina and intervertebral discs, and no change in cervical spine lordosis, when compared postoperative 1st day and one year radiographs. Fusion was detected in 92% of cases in one year.

CONCLUSION: Bladed cervical cages are safe with almost no risk of dislocation. Empty cages provide acceptable rates of fusion and subsidence.

KEYWORDS: Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion, Empty cage, Fusion, Cervical disc herniations

ÖZ

AMAÇ: Anterior servikal diskektomi (ASD) sonrasında, servikal omurga rekonstrüksiyonu, mesafeye kemik grefti veya biyomateryal doldurulmuş kafeslerle yapılmaktadır. Çalışmanın amacı tek mesafe ASD sonrası boş PEEK kafes yerleştirilen hastaların klinik ve radyolojik verilerini değerlendirmektir.

YÖNTEM ve GEREÇLER: Çalışmaya, tek seviye servikal disk hernisi tanısı alan 25 hasta dahil edildi. Hastaların preoperatif ve 1. yıl sonundaki postoperatif boyun ve kol VAS değerleri ve Odom kriter değerleri hesaplandı. Radyolojik olarak ise intervertebral disk ve foraminal yükseklik, servikal lordoz açısı ve füzyon oranları incelendi.

BULGULAR: Olguların 18'i erkek, 7'si kadın, ortalama yaşı 40,9 (yaş aralığı: 25-54) idi. Ortalama boyun ve kol VAS değerleri operasyon öncesi 2,9'den 1,4'e ve 7,2'den 1,8'e geriledi. Odom skorları postop 1. gün ve 1. yılda sırası ile 1,6 ve 1,4 olarak tespit edildi. Üç olguda subsidens saptandı (%12). Foramen ve disk yüksekliklerinde, keza servikal lordozda postop 1. gün ile postop 1. yıl arasında belirgin bir fark saptanmadı. Birinci yıl sonunda %92 füzyon tespit edildi.

SONUÇ: Bıçaklı servikal kafesler ASD'den sonra güvenilir olup, dislokasyon riski bulunmamaktadır. Boş kafeslerin kullanımı ile kabul edilir oranlarda füzyon ve subsidens ile karşılaşılmaktadır.

ANAHTAR SÖZCÜKLER: Anterior servikal diskektomi ve füzyon, Boş kafes, Füzyon, Servikal disk hernileri

INTRODUCTION

Cervical disc herniation (CDH) is an important disorder affecting health care. Many surgical treatment modalities have been used to treat CDH, including anterior cervical discectomy (ACD) (30, 39, 41), anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) (1, 3, 6, 8-10, 16, 25-27, 29, 31, 35, 36, 38, 43, 46, 47), anterior foraminotomy (32, 42), keyhole foraminotomy (12, 18,

40, 49), and arthroplasty (5, 19, 36, 41). Each approach has its advantages and disadvantages. ACD has been used for many years and has encouraging results in one level cases with a stable cervical spine. However, long term results of ACD are associated with uncontrolled fusion and cervical kyphosis, particularly in cases with 2 and 3 level CDH (30).

On the other hand, the addition of a disc prosthesis after ACD has some theoretical advantages, including motion preservation, and reduced rate of adjacent segment disease. However, adjacent segment problem, heterotopic ossification and high cost related problems remain (45, 50).

Another technique, anterior cervical foraminotomy, is an effective technique, particularly for foraminal herniations. There is, however, a risk of injury to the vertebral artery and cervical sympathetic trunk. Another foraminotomy technique, keyhole laminoforaminotomy, is also an effective surgical option for treatment of foraminal CDHs, but it requires partial resection of the facet joints, and therefore there is risk of instability in case of aggressive facet resection (48).

Currently ACDF seems to be the most accepted technique for cervical discectomy and reconstruction of the operated level. ACDF preserves cervical lordosis, restores both vertebral disc height and foraminal height, and promotes controlled bone fusion. Disadvantages of ACDF include loss of segmental motion and adjacent level disease (2, 14, 17).

ACDF can be performed using bone graft (8, 10), or a variety of titanium (11, 29, 31, 52), carbon fiber (4, 6, 9, 26, 35, 38, 43, 46, 47) or PEEK cages (24, 27, 31). Recently, PEEK cages with pins and blades have been popular. These cages have no metalic artifacts, and do not prevent postoperative imaging. Therefore, PEEK cages, filled with bone and/or demineralised bone matrix, are used widely in current cervical spine surgery practice worldwide.

Regardless of type of surgical procedure, most surgical options may result in fusion. The rate of fusion has been reported to be 95-100% after ACDF (3, 6, 8-10, 26, 27, 29, 31, 35, 36, 38, 43, 46, 47), up to %70 after ACD (30, 41), and 20-70% after cervical spine arthroplasty (5, 19, 36, 51). The likelihood of high fusion rates in ACD and even in arthroplasty cases is of interest. Therefore, the necessity of application of arthrodesis procedure using autologous bone or expensive biomaterials should be questioned.

On the other hand, the area required for optimal fusion should also be clarified. It is known that fusion occurs not only inside of small cages, but also anterior and posterior to the cage (6). Therefore, the necessity of filling small areas inside the cages with biomaterials or bone for successful fusion should be questioned. The aim of this study was to analyse the clinical and radiological results of ACD followed by placement of empty bladed PEEK cage into the intervertebral disc space.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Twenth-five cases with one-level CDH were included in the study. All patients presented with cervical radiculopathy and underwent standard ACD, and reconstruction using empty bladed cervical PEEK cage. It is of note that the endplates of the vertebrae were thoroughly decorticated, exposing the center of the PEEK device to the bleeding cancellous portion of the vertebrae.

The radiological and clinical outcomes of the patients were investigated prospectively.

The clinical outcome was assessed using the Odom score, one day and one year after surgery. Neck and arm pain was assessed using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) scores before and one year after surgery.

Many radiological parameters were analysed (Table I). Radiological studies were performed immediately one day and one year after surgery to assess the fusion rate, subsidence, the height of the neural foramina and of the anterior and posterior disc heights at the operated level, upper and lower adjacent levels, as well as cervical lordosis.

Heights of intervertebral disc and neural foramina were measured on computed tomograhy (CT). Cervical lordosis angles were measured on lateral plain radiographs using two different methods, including Cobb's and Harrison posterior tangent methods.

Fusion was assessed using plain lateral flexion / extension radiographs and CT. Fusion was assessed and determined by the radiologist if there was obvious bridging bone inside or outside of the cage on CT, and if there was < 2° of segmental motion on flexion / extension radiographs.

Subsidence was assessed in the postoperative one-year radiological evaluation.

The mean follow up rate was 16 months (range 12 to 23 months). Statistical analysis was performed using the paired samples test.

RESULTS

There were 18 females and 7 males, aged between 25 and 54 years (Mean age: 40.8±8.07 years). There were one C3-4, one C4-5, 11 C5-6, and 12 C6-7 disc herniations.

The mean preoperative and postoperative neck VAS scores were found to be 2.9 and 1.4, and mean preoperative and postoperative arm VAS scores were found to be 7.2 and 0.8, respectively.

Odom scores were found to be 1.6 and 1.4 one day and one year after surgery, respectively.

Detailes of radiological analyses were shown in Table II, III. Anterior disc heights of operated levels were found to be 6.372 ± 1.022 mm and 5.480 ± 1.274 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.032). Posterior disc heights of operated levels were found to be 4.144 ± 0.952 mm and 3.376 ± 0.964 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.050).

Anterior disc heights of adjacent upper levels were found to be 3.480 ± 1.061 mm and 3.192 ± 1.090 mm one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.000). Posterior disc heights of adjacent upper levels were found to be 2.680 ± 0.681 mm and 2.956 ± 0.711 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.001).

Anterior disc heights of adjacent lower levels were found to be 3.496 ± 0.849 mm and 3.564 ± 1.096 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.000). Posterior disc heights of adjacent lower levels were found to be 2.720 ± 0.645 mm and 2.644 ± 0.659 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.006).

When we compared foraminal heights at operated levels at postoperative 1st day and 1st year, foraminal heights changed from 9.968±1.378 mm to 9.880±1.358 mm on the right side (p=0.000), and from 9.768±1.594 mm to 9.552±1.481 mm, at the left side (p=0.000).

Right foraminal heights of adjacent upper levels were found to be 9.728±1.621 mm and 9.892±1.584 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.000).

Left foraminal heights of adjacent upper levels were found to be 9.932±1.417 mm and 9.988±1.673 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.052).

Table I: List of Analysed Parameters

Clinical parameters

Odom

Neck VAS

Arm VAS

Radiological parameters

Anterior and posterior disc heights of operated levels after surgery

Anterior & posterior disc heights of upper levels after surgery

Anterior & posterior disc heights of lower levels after surgery

Right & left foraminal heights of the operated levels after surgery

Right & left foraminal heights of upper levels after Surgery Right & left foraminal heights of lower levels after surgery

Mean cervical spine lordosis angle (Cobb)

Mean cervical spine lordosis angle (Tangent)

Subsidence rate

Fusion rate

Right foraminal heights of adjacent lower levels were found to be 9.960 ± 1.328 mm and 9.716 ± 2.264 one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.018).

Left foraminal heights of adjacent lower levels were found to be 9.940±1.306 mm and 9.988±1.673 mm, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.001).

Mean cervical lordosis angle using the Cobb method were found to be 7.360°±5.040 and 7.560°±6.053, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.876).

Mean cervical lordosis angle using the Tangent method were found to be $12^{\circ}\pm7.863$ and $13.8^{\circ}\pm7.592$, one day and one year after surgery, respectively (p=0.198).

Subsidence was detected in three cases (12%). Fusion was detected in 23 cases (92%) at one year (Figure 1A-D).

DISCUSSION

This study revealed acceptable rate of fusion, subsidence, and good clinical outcome after ACD, reconstructed with empty bladed PEEK cage. This study also confirmed maintenance of cervical lordosis, and disc and foraminal heights one year after surgery.

There are many reports on the high fusion rate after the use of titanium, carbon fiber, and PEEK cages filled with bone and/or bone substitutes (1, 6, 9, 10, 25, 27). The main disadvantages of the cages include donor site morbidity (in case of the use of autograft), high cost (in case of bone substitutes), and subsidence.

In order to avoid donor site morbidity, some authors used local bone harvested from local osteophytes, and others used biomaterials. The former is not commonly enough, because there is not enough bone to pack whole the cage, and the latter has high cost. Therefore, in order to achieve an acceptable fusion rate, many surgeons prefer to pack the cage with both resected bone from surgery site and biomaterials.

On the other hand, there are some studies confirming similar fusion rates after ACD (30, 41), after the use of an empty cage (Table IV) (7, 13, 20-23, 33, 34, 44, 52), and even after the use of a cervical disc prosthesis (5, 19, 37, 51). That means fusion does not relay only to the presence or absence of bone graft. Schröder et al, demonstrated large solid bony fusion within the cage in a case who underwent ACD and reconstruction with an empty cage (40). Similarly, Mondorf et al. used an

Table II: Anterior and Posterior Heights of Disc Spaces at Operated Level, and Adjacent Upper and Lower Levels

Parameters	Day 1 (mm)	One year (mm)	р
Anterior heights of disc spaces at operated levels	6.372±1.022	5.480±1.274	0.032
Posterior heights of disc spaces at operated levels	4.144±0.952	3.376±0.964	0.050
Anterior heights of disc spaces at upper levels	3.480±1.061	3.192±1.090	0.000
Posterior heights of disc spaces at upper levels	2.680±0.681	2.956±0.711	0.001
Anterior heights of disc spaces at lower levels	3.496±0.849	3.564±1.096	0.000
Posterior heights of disc spaces at lower levels	2.720±0.645	2.644±0.659	0.006

Table III: Right and Left Foraminal Heights of Disc Spaces at Operated Level, and Adjacent Upper and Lower Levels

Parameters	Day 1 (mm)	One year (mm)	р
Right foraminal heights at operated levels	9.968±1.378	9.880±1.358	0.000
Left foraminal heights at operated levels	9.768±1.594	9.552±1.481	0.000
Right foraminal heights at upper levels	9.728±1.621	9.892±1.584	0.000
Left foraminal heights at upper levels	9.932±1.417	9.988±1.673	0.052
Right foraminal height at lower levels	9.960±1.328	9.716±2.264	0.018
Left foraminal heights at lower levels	9.940±1.306	9.988±1.673	0.001

Table IV: Fusion and Subsidence Rate After the Use of Empty Cervical Cages in Different Reported Series

Author	Year	Cage type	#	Follow up	Outcome	Subsidence	Fusion rate
Lange	2002	Titanium	153	23m	90%	-	-
Zevgaridis	2002	Titanium	18	12m	83%	34%	83%
Payer	2003	C. fiber	25	12m		20%	96%
Thome	2006	Titanium	50	12m	74%	18%	79%
Frederic	2006	C.fiber	36	12m		33%	96%
Krayenbühl	2008	Titanium	45	48m	75%	2%	57%
Kast	2009	PEEK1 PEEK2		6m 6m	94% 94%	6.8% 1.4%	76% 76%
Pechlivanis	2010	PEEK	52	12m	80%	-	71.7%
Lemcke	2011	PEEK1 PEEK 2	181 154	12m 12m	83% 83%	13.3% 8.4%	-
Cabraja	2012	Titanium PEEK	44 42	30m 26m	75% 64.3%	20.5% 14.3%	93.2% 88.1%



Figure 1: Postoperative sagittal CT of a case with C6-7 disc herniation reconstructed with empty cage, showing fusion process with the time. **A)** Postoperative day 1, **B)** One month after surgery, **C)** Six months after surgery, and **D)** One year after surgery.

empty cage in cases with discitis and reported successful fusion (28).

There exists also another fact supporting the idea of empty cage usage after ACD. It is known that bony fusion does not occur only within the cage, but also around a cage (6). This is not surprising, because the fusion area inside the cages, particularly in expandable cages, is too small for a successful bony fusion.

The current study showed 92% fusion, a rate comparable with cages filled with bone and biomaterials. The fusion in the cases of the current series occurred both inside the cage and posterior to the cage. Therefore, this study confirmed the idea of the use of empty bladed cage.

The advantages of the use of empty cages included short operative time, no need for bone harvesting from donor site, and no cost for biomaterials. There was a significantly short operative time in current series and the mean duration of surgery was 61 minutes. Similarly, the hospitalization time was also significantly short (only one day).

Cage subsidence in the months after implantation is also an important concern. The rate of subsidence ranges from 2% to 33% (3, 4, 11, 15, 20). Subsidence results from several reasons, including over-curettage of the endplate, over-distraction with too tall cage, cage geometry, and cage material. Careful curettage, and careful selection of the cage may reduce the rate of subsidence. We have observed subsidence in three (12%) of our 25 patients. The incidence of cage subsidence may be affected by the differences in modulus of material elasticity too (10,31). PEEK cages have an elastic modulus close to the bone. This fact may be a factor decreasing the rate of subsidence in the current series.

On the other hand, subsidence of cage may affect foraminal and disc height, and as a result the segmental angle. The low rate of subsidence the in current series was associated with better radiological results. There was preservation of cervical lordosis and an acceptable rate of disc and foraminal heights at the operated level and at adjacent levels even one year after surgery.

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that implantation of empty bladed cervical cage in the treatment of CDHs provides acceptable rate of fusion, subsidence, and good clinical outcome, as well as avoidance of the high cost necessary for biomaterials.

REFERENCES

- Assietti R, Beretta F, Arienta C: Two-level anterior cervical discectomy and cage-assisted fusion without plates. Neurosurg Focus 12 (1): E3, 2002
- Baba H, Furusawa N, Imura S, Kawahara N, Tsuchiya H, et al: Late radiographic findings after anterior cervical fusion for spondylotic myeloradiculopathy. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 18: 2167–2173, 1993

- 3. Bartels RH, Donk R, van Azn RD: Height of cervical foramina after anterior discectomy and implantation of a carbon fiber cage. J Neurosurg 95 (1 Suppl): 40-42, 2001
- 4. Bartels RH, Donk RD, Feuth T: Subsidence of stand-alone cervical carbon fiber cages. Neurosurgery 58: 502-508, 2006
- Brenke C, Scharf J, Schmieder K, Barth M High prevalence of heterotopic ossification after cervical disc arthroplasty: Outcome and intraoperative findings following explantation of 22 cervical disc prostheses. J Neurosurg Spine 17: 141-146, 2012
- 6. Brooke NS, Rorke AW, King AT, Gullan RW: Preliminary experience of carbon fibre cage prostheses for treatment of cervical spine disorders. Br J Neurosurg 11: 221-227, 1997
- Cabraja M, Oezdemir S, Koeppen D, Kroppenstedt S: Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: Comparison of titanium and polyetheretherketone cages. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 13: 172-179, 2012
- 8. Carvi Y, Nievas MN, Pöllath A, Haas E, et al: Cervical discectomy: Bone graft or cage fusion? A comparison study. In: Brock M, Schwarz W, Wille C (eds). First interdisciplinary World Congress on Spinal Surgery and Related Disciplines. Bologna: Monduzzi Editore, 2000:123-128
- Cho DY, Lee WY, Sheu PC: Treatment of multilevel cervical fusion with cages. Surg Neurol 62: 378-385, 2004
- Chou YC, Chen DC, Hsieh WA, Chen WF, Yen PS, Harnod T, Chiou TL, Chang YL, Su CF, Lin SZ, Chen SY: Efficacy of anterior cervical fusion: Comparison of titanium cages, polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cages and autogenous bone grafts. J Clin Neurosci 15: 1240-1245, 2008
- Colpan ME, Attar A, Sekerci Z, Tuna H, Egemen N: Cervical foraminal area and intervertebral height changes after titanium ring cage placement: Preliminary results. Journal of Clinical Neuroscience 13: 228–232, 2006
- 12. Epstein NE: A review of laminoforaminotomy for the management of lateral and foraminal cervical disc herniations or spurs. Surg Neurol 57: 226-233, 2002
- Frédéric S, Benedict R, Payer M: Implantation of an empty carbon fiber cage or a tricortical iliac crest autograft after cervical discectomy for single-level disc herniation: A prospective comparative study. J Neurosurg Spine 4: 292-299, 2006
- Gore DR, Gardner GM, Sepic SB, Murray MP: Roentgenographic findings following anterior cervical fusion. Skeletal Radiol 15: 556–559, 1986
- 15. Ha SK, Park JY, Kim SH, Lim DJ, Kim SD, Lee SK: Radiologic assessment of subsidence in stand-alone cervical Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cage. J Korean Neurosurg Soc 44: 370-374, 2008
- 16. Hermansen A, Hedlund R, Vavruch L, Peolsson A: A comparison between the carbon fiber cage and the cloward procedure in cervical spine surgery: A ten- to thirteen-year follow-up of a prospective randomized study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36: 919-925, 2011

- 17. Hilibrand AS, Carlson GD, Palumbo M, Jones PK, Bohlman HH, et al: Radiculopathy and myelopathy at segments adjacent to the site of a previous anterior cervical arthrodesis. J Bone Joint Surg Am 81: 519–528, 1999
- Jagannathan J, Sherman JH, Szabo T, Shaffrey CI, Jane JA: The posterior cervical foraminotomy in the treatment of cervical disc/osteophyte disease: A single-surgeon experience with a minimum of 5 years' clinical and radiographic follow-up. J Neurosurg Spine 10: 347-356, 2009
- Jin YJ, Park SB, Kim MJ, Kim KJ, Kim HJ: An analysis of heterotopic ossification in cervical disc arthroplasty: A novel morphologic classification of an ossified mass. Spine J 13(4):408-420, 2013
- Kast E, Derakhshani S, Bothmann M, Oberle J: Subsidence after anterior cervical inter-body fusion. A randomized prospective clinical trial. Neurosurg Rev 32: 207–214, 2009
- 21. Krayenbühl N, Schneider C, Landolt H, Fandino J: Use of an empty, Plasmapore-covered titanium cage for interbody fusion after anterior cervical microdiscectomy. J Clin Neurosci 15: 11-17, 2008
- 22. Lange M, Zemiltz L, Philipp A, Rahim T, Fink U, Oeckler R: Treatment results of anterior cervical fusion using the hollow RABEA titanium-cage to avoid iliac crest spongiosa harvest. Presented at 18th Annual Meeting of the Cervical Spine Research Society, Paris, 6-13-2002
- 23. Lange M, Philipp A, Fink U, Oeckler R: Anterior cervical spine fusion using RABEA-Titan-Cages avoiding iliac crest spongiosa: First experiences and results. Neurol Neurochir Pol 34 Suppl 6: 64-69, 2000
- Lemcke J, Al-Zain F, Meier U, Suess O: Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) spacers for anterior cervical fusion: A retrospective comparative effectiveness clinical trial. The Open Orthopaedics Journal 5: 348-353, 2011
- 25. Lied B, Roenning PA, Sundseth J, Helseth E: Anterior cervical discectomy with fusion in patients with cervical disc degeneration: a prospective outcome study of 258 patients (181 fused with autologous bone graft and 77 fused with a PEEK cage). BMC Surg 10: 10, 2010
- 26. Marotta N, Landi A, Tarantino R, Mancarella C, Ruggeri A, Delfini R: Five-year outcome of stand-alone fusion using carbon cages in cervical disc arthrosis. Eur Spine J Suppl 1: S8-12, 2011
- 27. Mastronardi L, Ducati A, Ferrante L: Anterior cervical fusion with polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cages in the treatment of degenerative disc disease. Preliminary observations in 36 consecutive cases with a minimum 12-month follow-up. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 148: 307-312, 2006
- Mondorf Y, Gaab MR, Oertel JM: PEEK cage cervical ventral fusion in spondylodiscitis. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 151: 1537-1541,2009
- 29. Moreland DB, Asch HL, Clabeaux DE, Castiglia GJ, Czajka GA, Lewis PJ, Egnatchik JG, Cappuccino A, Huynh L: Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion with implantable titanium cage: initial impressions, patient outcomes and comparison to fusion with allograft. Spine J 4: 184-191, 2004

- 30. Naderi S, Ozgen S, Ozek MM, Pamir MN: Cervical disc herniations: When to fuse? Neuro-Orthopedics 28:27-38,2000
- 31. Niu CC, Liao JC, Chen WJ, Chen LH: Outcomes of interbody fusion cages used in 1 and 2-levels anterior cervical discectomy and fusion: Titanium cages versus polyetheretherketone (PEEK) cages. J Spinal Disord Tech 23: 310-316, 2010
- 32. Park YK, Moon HJ, Kwon TH, Kim JH: Long-term outcomes following anterior foraminotomy for one- or two-level cervical radiculopathy. Eur Spine J 22(7):1489-1496, 2013
- Payer M, May D, Reverdin A, Tessitore E: Implantation of an empty carbon fiber composite frame cage after single-level anterior cervical discectomy in the treatment of cervical disc herniation: Preliminary results. J Neurosurg 98 Suppl 2: 143-148. 2003
- 34. Pechlivanis I, Thuring T, Brenke C, Seiz M, Thome C, Barth M, Harders A, Schmieder K: Non-fusion rates in anterior cervical discectomy and implantation of empty polyetheretherketone cages. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 36: 15-20, 2011
- 35. Peolsson A, Vavruch L, Hedlund R: Long-term randomised comparison between a carbon fibre cage and the Cloward procedure in the cervical spine. Eur Spine J 16: 173-178, 2007
- 36. Ryu SI, Mitchell M, Kim DH: A prospective randomized study comparing a cervical carbon fiber cage to the Smith-Robinson technique with allograft and plating: up to 24 months follow-up. Eur Spine J 15: 157-164, 2006
- 37. Ryu KS, Park CK, Jun SC, Huh HY: Radiological changes of the operated and adjacent segments following cervical arthroplasty after a minimum 24-month follow-up: comparison between the Bryan and Prodisc-C devices. J Neurosurg Spine 13: 299-307, 2010
- 38. Salame K, Ouaknine GE, Razon N, Rochkind S: The use of carbon fiber cages in anterior cervical interbody fusion: Report of 100 cases. Neurosurg Focus 12(1): E1, 2002
- 39. Savolainen S, Rinne J, Hernesniemi J: A prospective randomized study of anterior single-level cervical disc operations with long-term follow-up: Surgical fusion is unnecessary. Neurosurgery 43: 51-55, 1998
- 40. Schröder J, Schul C, Hasselblatt M, Wassmann H: Bony fusion through an empty cervical disc interspace implant. Zentralbl Neurochir 68:139-141, 2007
- Sonntag VKH, Klara P: Is fusion necessary after anterior cervical discectomy? Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 21:1111-1112, 1996
- 42. Takeuchi M, Takayasu M, Yasuda M, Kamiya M, Inukai T, Matsuo N, Osuka K: Transvertebral anterior key hole foraminotomy without fusion for the cervicothoracic junction. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 154:1797-1802, 2012
- 43. Tancredi A, Agrillo A, Delfini R, Fiume D, Frati A, Rinaldi A: Use of carbon fiber cages for treatment of cervical myeloradiculopathies. Surgical Neurol 61: 221-222, 2004
- 44. Thome C, Leheta O, Krauss JK, Zevgaridis D: A prospective randomized comparison of rectangular titanium cage fusion and iliac crest autograft fusion in patients undergoing anterior cervical discectomy. J Neurosurg Spine 4: 1–9, 2006

- 45. Tu TH, Wu JC, Huang WC, Guo WY, Wu CL, Shih YH, Cheng H: Heterotopic ossification after cervical total disc replacement: Determination by CT and effects on clinical outcomes. J Neurosurg Spine 14: 457-465, 2011
- 46. van der Haven I, van Loon PJ, Bartels RH, van Susante JL: Anterior cervical interbody fusion with radiolucent carbon fiber cages: Clinical and radiological results. Acta Orthop Belg 71: 604-609, 2005
- 47. Vavruch L, Hedlund R, Javid D, Leszniewski W, Shalabi A: A prospective randomized comparison between the cloward procedure and a carbon fiber cage in the cervical spine: A clinical and radiologic study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 27:1694-701, 2002
- Voo LM, Kumaresan S, Yoganandan N, Pintar FA, Cusick JF: Finite element analysis of cervical facetectomy. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 22: 964-969, 1997

- 49. Witzmann A, Hejazi N, Krasznai L: Posterior cervical foraminotomy. A follow-up study of 67 surgically treated patients with compressive radiculopathy. Neurosurg Rev 23: 213-217, 2000
- 50. Yang B, Li H, Zhang T, He X, Xu S: The incidence of adjacent segment degeneration after cervical disc arthroplasty (CDA): A meta analysis of randomized controlled trials. Plos One 7: 1-7, 2012
- 51. Yi S, Kim KN, Yang MS, Yang JW, Kim H, Ha Y, Yoon do H, Shin HC: Differences in occurrence of heterotopic ossification according to cervical prosthesis type. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 35: 1556-1561, 2010
- 52. Zevgaridis D, Thome C, Krauss JK: Prospective controlled study of rectangular titanium cage fusion compared with iliac crest autograft fusion in anterior cervical discectomy. Neurosurg Focus 12 (1): 1-8, 2002