



Original Investigation

Surgical Management of Thoracic Disc Herniation: Anterior vs Posterior Approach

Ismail OLTULU¹, Hemra CIL², Sigurd BERVEN³, Dean CHOU⁴, Aaron CLARK⁴, Mustafa Onur ULU⁵, Christopher AMES⁴, Vedat DEVIREN³

¹VM Medical Park Pendik Hospital, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Istanbul, Turkey

²University of California, Department of Anesthesia and Reanimation, San Francisco, USA

³University of California, Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, San Francisco, USA

⁴University of California, Department of Neurosurgery, San Francisco, USA

⁵Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa, Cerrahpasa Medical Faculty, Department of Neurosurgery, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding author: İsmail OLTULU ✉ ioltulu@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT

AIM: To compare outcomes and complications in patients with thoracic disc herniation (TDH) undergoing surgery with either the posterior or anterior approach.

MATERIAL and METHODS: A total of 86 patients, with 98 symptomatic TDHs, who underwent surgery in a single institution between 2007 and 2016, were included. Overall, 68 patients were in the anterior and 18 were in the posterior group. Ten patients underwent multilevel TDH surgery.

RESULTS: The groups were similar in age, sex, body mass index, and clinical symptoms. In the anterior group, 4 patients (5.9%) had major complications, and 26 (38.2%) had minor complications. In the posterior group, 6 patients (33.3%) had major complications, and 4 (22.2%) had minor complications. Visual analog scores at the final follow-up improved in both groups as compared to baseline preoperative scores ($p>0.05$). The rate of neurological improvement in patients with myelopathy was significantly higher in the anterior group (43/50) than in the posterior group (8/14) ($p<0.05$).

CONCLUSION: The current study showed that higher rates of major complications in central and calcified paracentral TDHs are associated with posterior approaches when compared to anterior approaches. In addition, anterior approaches had superior neurological recovery and clinical outcomes. Therefore, we recommend the anterior approach for the treatment of calcified and/or non-calcified central and calcified paracentral TDH, while reserving posterior ap-proaches for small non-calcified paracentral disc herniations.

KEYWORDS: Thoracic disc, Herniation, Posterior, Anterior, Calcification

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of thoracic disc herniation (TDH) is estimated to occur in between 0.25% and 1% of all disc herniations (10,32). The majority of TDHs are central or paracentral; however, far lateral disc herniations have also been reported. The female to male ratio for TDH is approximately 1:1 (3,32). The strongest indication for surgery is

severe and/or progressive myelopathy. While surgery for pain control is controversial, patients achieve better symptomatic relief when the indication is radicular pain when compared to discogenic pain (26,32). There is no consensus regarding the best surgical approach for treating symptomatic TDH. In the present study, surgical approaches for the treatment of TDH include posterolateral (6,16,22,33), lateral (14,36), anterior (5),

Ismail OLTULU : 0000-0001-9716-7795
Hemra CIL : 0000-0001-7832-2542
Sigurd BERVEN : 0000-0003-1006-5345

Dean CHOU : 0000-0003-0310-8263
Aaron CLARK : 0000-0002-6831-2663
Mustafa Onur ULU : 0000-0002-6256-0869

Christopher AMES : 0000-0003-2618-3098
Vedat DEVIREN : 0000-0002-7295-9814

and video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (2,24). The main goal of surgery is to provide sufficient decompression and minimize manipulation of the compressed spinal cord (28). We aimed to compare outcomes and complications of anterior versus posterior approaches in a series of TDH patients undergoing surgery at the same institution.

■ MATERIAL and METHODS

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board. We retrospectively reviewed a prospectively maintained spine registry at the same academic institution to identify patients with TDHs who underwent surgical treatment between 2007 and 2016. The patients' clinical records were reviewed for demographic information, clinical presentation, radiographic studies, level of TDH, surgical approach, estimated blood loss (EBL), operation time, length of stay (LOS), perioperative complications, and clinical outcomes at the last follow-up. The patient population was separated into groups that underwent either an anterior or posterior approach and compared based on the previously mentioned parameters.

Imaging Review

Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was reviewed to document localization of the disc herniation, relation of the disc herniation to the spinal cord, and degree of spinal cord compression. In addition, all patients underwent computed tomography (CT) scans to assess the degree of calcification of the herniated disc. The level of disc herniation was marked preoperatively with a fiducial screw under CT guidance in some patients, and intraoperative localization with fluoroscopy was performed in others (35). Postoperative X-rays were taken in patients who underwent instrumented fusion, and MRI and CT scans were obtained in those with new or persistent symptoms.

Outcome Analysis

All patients were examined by an independent observer. Patients were assessed preoperatively and postoperatively at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, 1 year, and yearly thereafter. Patients complete a visual analog scale (VAS), Oswestry Disability Index (ODI), and SF-36 health surveys as part of a prospectively maintained database. Neurological improvement was defined as resolved or improved bowel/bladder symptoms and/or improved lower extremity radiculopathy or weakness.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the Number Cruncher Statistical System 2007 (Kaysville, UT, USA) software. Descriptive statistical methods (mean, standard deviation, median, frequency, ratio, minimum, and maximum) were used in the data evaluation. Student *t*-test was performed for intergroup comparisons of quantitative data with normal distribution, and the Mann-Whitney *U* test was used for intergroup comparisons of variables without normal distribution. Pearson chi-square test, Fisher exact test, and Yates's continuity correction test (Yates's corrected chi-square test) were used to compare qualitative data. Significance was evaluated at $p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.05$.

■ RESULTS

Demographic and Perioperative Data

A total of 86 patients with 98 disc herniation levels were treated in both groups. Eight patients had 2 levels of symptomatic disc herniations, and 2 patients had 3 levels. The T7-8 disc space was the most frequently involved level (18/86 patients; 20.9%) in the current cohort. The demographic data are summarized in Tables I, II, and III, and illustrative cases are presented in Figures 1A-F; 2A-A-F. Both groups were similar in age, sex, body mass index, and clinical symptoms (Table I). TDH characteristics in the anterior and posterior groups are summarized in Table II. In the anterior group, the mean canal occupancy rate was 43.45%, while it was 48.8% in the posterior group ($p = 0.445$).

Surgical Procedures

In the anterior group ($n = 68$), discectomy was performed in 56 patients using a minimally invasive lateral transthoracic transretropleural approach (mini-LTTA), and 12 patients underwent thoracic discectomy via thoracotomy. All 86 cases underwent intraoperative neuromonitoring. In the posterior group ($n = 18$), discectomy was performed using a transfacet pedicle-sparing approach in 9 patients, a transpedicular approach in 4 patients, and a costotransversectomy in 1 patient. Four patients underwent laminectomy without discectomy.

No significant differences were found in length of surgery, EBL, or hospital LOS (Table III). Likewise, both groups had similar lengths of follow-up (Table III).

Complications

The complication profile of the present study is shown in Table IV. The posterior group had a significantly higher rate of major complications (6/18; 33%) when compared to the anterior group (4/68; 5.9%) ($p = 0.005$). Conversely, minor complications were more common in the anterior group (26/68; 38.2% vs. 4/18; 22.2%) ($p = 0.271$).

In the anterior group, transient neurologic deterioration occurred in 1 patient with calcified central disc herniation. The patient's neurologic status regressed from preoperative American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) E to postoperative ASIA D with full subsequent recovery at the last follow-up. Two patients in the posterior group had permanent neurological deterioration; both patients had calcified central disc herniation. Both patients were ASIA E preoperatively and ASIA A (1 patient) and B (1 patient) postoperatively. Transient neurological deterioration (ASIA C) occurred in 1 patient with non-calcified central disc herniation, with the patient regaining muscle strength close to preoperative levels after 1 year (ASIA E). Perioperative transient neurological deterioration occurred in 1 patient with calcified central disc herniation. One patient in the posterior group had an incomplete discectomy due to a marked decrease in intraoperative somatosensory-evoked potentials and motor-evoked potentials. While postoperative motor examination was close to normal, the patient's complaints regarding residual pressure persisted at the last follow-up. Overall, the posterior group had a significantly higher rate of neurological deterioration compared to the

Table I: Demographic Data, Comorbidities, Perioperative Symptoms, Level of Herniated Disc of the Patients in Anterior and Posterior Groups

	Anterior (n=68)	Posterior (n=18)	p value
Mean age in yrs (range)	55.4 (23-84)	56.6 (20-97)	0.773
Male Gender (ratio)	41 (60.3%)	12 (66.7%)	0.787
Mean body mass index in kg/m ² (range)	30.3 (18.3-46.2)	29.5 (20.7-44.1)	0.642
Comorbidites			
Hypertension	27 (39.7%)	9 (50%)	0.434
Diabetes mellitus	10 (14.7%)	5 (27.8%)	0.252
Obesity	33 (48.5%)	6 (33.3%)	0.342
Cardiac disease	12 (17.6%)	5 (27.8%)	0.531
Symptoms			
Back pain	55 (80.9%)	5 (27.8%)	0.000
Leg pain	38 (55.9%)	6 (33.3%)	0.114
Ataxia	26 (38.2%)	7 (38.9%)	1.000
Paraparesia	25 (36.8%)	4 (22.2%)	0.279
Urinary Incontinence	16 (23.5%)	5 (27.8%)	0.761
Level of herniated disc			
T2-3	0	2 (11.1%)	
T5-6	4 (5.9%) †	2 (11.1%)	
T6-7	8 (11.76%) † ‡	1 (5.6%) ‡	
T7-8	15 (22.05%) ‡	3 (16.7%) ‡ ⊙	
T8-9	8 (11.76%) ‡ † ‡ * □ †	2 (11.1%) ⊙	
T9-10	9 (13.23%) ‡ * □ † †	4 (22.2%) ⊙	
T10-11	13 (19.11%) † †	2 (11.1%)	
T11-12	12 (17.64%) ‡	3 (16.7%)	
T12-L1	8 (11.76%) ‡	2 (11.1%)	
Total number of herniated disc levels	77	21	
Myelopathy	49 (72.1%)	14 (77.8%)	0.769
Radiculopathy	52 (76.05%)	8 (44.4%)	0.019
Axial pain	54 (79.4%)	14 (77.8%)	1.000
Bowel/bladder dysfunction	21 (30.9%)	6 (33.3%)	1.000
Neurological improvement (myelopathy)	42 (61.8)	8 (57.1 % of patient with myelopathy)	0.282

⊙ † 2 patient had 3 level disc herniation

† ‡ † ‡ * □ † † 8 patient had 2 level disc herniation

Table II: Characteristics of Thoracic Disc Herniation in Anterior and Posterior Groups (n=number of discs)

	Anterior (n=77)	Posterior (n=21)
Central Disc	39 (50.65%)	5 (23.81%)
Paracentral	14 (18.18%)	6 (28.57%)
Central & paracentral	24 (31.17%)	10 (47.62%)
Disc calcification	37 (48.05%)	8 (38.10%)

anterior group (p<0.001). In addition, the risk of neurological deterioration in the posterior group was 19.1-fold higher than in the anterior group.

Two patients in the anterior group underwent secondary procedures. One patient had revision surgery due to pseudarthrosis 37 months after the initial TDH surgery. The other patient underwent posterior laminectomy and fusion 6 months after the initial surgery due to persistent narrowing at the same disc herniation level. Revision posterior fusion was performed in 1 patient in the posterior group due to pseudarthrosis at 14 months.

Table III: Operation Time, Blood Loss, Length of Stay, Follow-Up Periods in Anterior and Posterior Surgery Groups and Canal Occupancy Rate (n=number of patients)

	Anterior Group (n=68)	Posterior Group (n=18)	p value
Operation Time (min)	186.79 (71-500)	223.12 (76-519)	0.952
Blood loss (mL)	390.88 (50-2000)	602.78 (25-2550)	0.983
Length of stay (d)	4.87 (1-14)	7.17 (2-24)	0.354
Follow up (m)	17.07 (1-61)	22.06 (2-70)	0.352
Canal Occupancy	43.45 (16.4-83%)	48.8 (25.4-88%)	0.445

Numbers presented are means (with ranges).



Figure 1: A 56-year-old female with back pain radiating to the left thigh and causing left leg numbness and weakness. **A, B)** Preoperative sagittal and axial MR images show T9-10 calcified central thoracic disc herniation with spinal cord compression. **C, D)** Postoperative sagittal and axial MR images. **E, F)** Anteroposterior and lateral radiographs reveal spinal cord decompression and lateral interbody fusion with anterolateral plating via the mini-open lateral transpleural approach. Estimated blood loss was 750 mL, operation time was 156 min, and length of stay was 3 days.

In the anterior group, 6 (8.8%) patients had dural tears; 4 of them had a calcified central disc herniation, 1 had a calcified paracentral disc herniation, and 1 had non-calcified central disc herniation. The patient with neurological deterioration had calcified central disc herniation and dural tear. Dural tears occurred in 4 (22%) patients in the posterior group; 2 of them were associated with calcified central disc herniations, and 1 patient had permanent neurological deficits. One patient had non-calcified central disc herniation and transient neurological deterioration. The fourth patient with dural tear had a calcified paracentral disc herniation. All dural tears were repaired with primary suture and fibrin sealant (Tisseel, Baxter Healthcare Corp., Deerfield, IL, USA), and all tears healed without complication.

Overall, 26 minor complications (38.2%) were noted in the anterior group. Two patients who required chest tube

reinsertion developed a minimal pleural effusion. One patient with pneumonia was treated medically. Two patients developed ileus and 1 exhibited urinary retention, all of which resolved spontaneously. Four patients developed atelectasis, which resolved with treatment. A chest tube was not inserted in 1 patient who had a small amount of operative blood loss and developed a small pneumothorax, which healed spontaneously. Small apical pneumothoraces that healed spontaneously were observed in 2 patients. Pulmonary complications were observed in 7 patients (12.3%) with single-lumen intubation and 3 (27.3%) with double-lumen intubation and the difference was not statistically different ($p > 0.05$). Intercostal neuralgia lasting >3 months developed in 6 (8.8%) patients in the anterior group; 4 had steroid injections, and 2 were managed medically. A fractured rib due to retraction occurred in 1 patient and recovery was uneventful.




Figure 2: A 59-year-old male with ataxia and bilateral leg numbness and weakness. **A, B)** Preoperative sagittal and axial MR images show T5-6 calcified central thoracic disc herniation with spinal cord compression. **C, D)** Postoperative sagittal MR images show residual disc herniation. **E, F)** Anteroposterior and lateral radiographs show posterior plating via the posterior transfacet pedicle-sparing approach. Estimated blood loss was 150 mL, operation time was 172 min, and length of stay was 3 days.

Table IV: Postoperative Complications in Anterior and Posterior Groups

Complications	Anterior Group (n=68)	Posterior Group (n=18)	p value	ODDS (95% CI)
Neurological Deterioration	1 (1.5%)	4 (22.2%)	0.006	19.143
Pulmonary embolism	1 (1.5%)	0	1.000	
Pseudarthrosis	1 (1.5%)	1 (5.6%)	0.377	3.941
Repeated surgery	1 (1.5%)	1 (5.6%)	0.377	3.941
Pulmonary complications [#]	10 (14.7%)*	0	0.112	
Dural tear	6 (8.8%) †	4 (22.2%) † †	0.207	2.952
Ileus	2 (2.9%)	0	1.000	
Urinary retention	1 (1.5%)	0	1.000	
Intercostal neuralgia	6 (8.8%)	0	0.336	
Rip fracture	1 (1.5%)	0	1.000	

[#]Pulmonary complications were pneumonia, pleural effusion, pneumothorax and atelectasis, *Two patient had atelectasis with small pneumothorax, † 4 central calcified disc herniation, 1 paracentral calcified disc herniation, 1 non-calcified disc herniation, † † 2 central calcified disc herniation, 1 non-calcified disc herniation, 1 paracentral non-calcified disc.

Table V: Clinical Outcomes of the Patients

	Anterior Group (n=68)	Posterior Group (n=18)
Postoperative neurological improvement	42/49 patients (85.7%)*	8/14 patients (57.1%)
VAS score-preoperative*	7.6	6.6
VAS score-last control	4.5 [#]	5.72
ODI score-preoperative	50.75	49.67
ODI score-last control*	38.55 [#]	48.44
PCS score-preoperative*	28.91	35.09
PCS score-last control	35.87 [#]	33.97
MCS scores-preoperative	37.34	40.80
MCS scores-last control*	53.78 [#]	41.59

*p<0.05 compared to posterior group, [#]p<0.05 compared to respective preoperative score.

Neurological status and pain scores were evaluated at the last follow-up (Table V). The anterior group had higher rates of postoperative neurological improvement compared to the posterior group (85.7 vs. 57.1%, respectively; p<0.05). The posterior group had significantly improved postoperative VAS, ODI, SF-36 physical component summary (PCS), and mental component summary (MCS) scores over their preoperative scores (p<0.05) while the anterior group remained stable (p>0.05).

■ DISCUSSION

Surgical management for TDH is technically demanding and associated with a considerable complication profile. Surgical approaches vary depending on the surgeon's experience, disc level, disc location in the spinal canal, and patient

comorbidities, and the ideal surgical approach remains controversial (4,7,8,11,15,21,30,33).

The present study revealed a higher rate of major complications in central and calcified paracentral disc herniations as a result of posterior approaches when compared to anterior approaches. Moreover, anterior approaches were associated with superior neurological recovery and clinical outcomes. Roelz et al. reported a 10-year experience treating giant TDH with mini-TTA, and they found no significant improvement in the modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association (mJOA) score in the immediate postoperative period, and they detected a non-significant improvement at the last control values. Sensory deficits improved significantly in all patients and the recovery was faster than motor deficits (30).

Wait et al. reported on 121 patients who underwent thoracoscopic surgery, 68 of whom presented with myelopathy. Frankel grade improved in 50 patients (73.5%) and was maintained in 18 (26.5%) (37).

Anand et al. defined clinical success as a 20% improvement in the Oswestry score at the final follow-up and reported clinical success in 73% of patients who underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic discectomy (2). Based on the same criteria, clinical success in the current study was 45.7% in patients in the anterior group and 33.3% in the posterior group. When thoracotomy cases were excluded from the anterior group, clinical success ratio was set to 54.4%.

While the posterior group had a higher rate of major complications (5.9% vs. 33.3%; $p=0.005$), the anterior group had more-minor complications (38.2% vs. 22.7%; $p=0.271$). Postoperative atelectasis, pneumothorax, pneumonia, significant blood loss, and severe post-thoracotomy pain were significantly higher for the standard anterior approach (5,12,15,20,21).

Whereas single-lumen endotracheal tube was applied in the surgeries using mini-LTTA, the double-lumen endotracheal tube was used in the thoracotomy surgeries. No statistically significant difference was seen between the groups regarding pulmonary complications. Chest tubes were routinely placed in both groups except in 1 patient. Arts et al. reported 18% of pulmonary complications such as pneumonia and pleural effusion and abandoned the routine use of chest tubes (4).

Strom reported a 2% rate of pulmonary complications using the anterior approach, which they attributed to the routine use of chest tubes despite using minimally invasive methods; hence, they recommend routine chest tube insertion for reducing pulmonary complications (34).

However, complication rates in the current study were lower due to minimally invasive methods and thoracoscopic methods, and pulmonary complication rates were consistent with previous studies (2,4,14,27,32,34,37).

Intercostal neuralgia is typically seen after the anterior approach, spontaneously resolving within a few months. Intercostal blockade or radio frequency rhizotomy is effective in cases where the pain is unresponsive to medical therapy (2,18). In the current study, intercostal neuralgia lasting >3 months developed in 6 (8.8%) patients in the anterior group, 4 of whom improved without sequelae with intercostal blocks, and 2 received medical therapy. Khoo et al. reported 9 patients who experienced numbness for a year and 4 patients with persistent intercostal neuralgia (22).

Durotomy was observed in 6 patients in the anterior group and 4 in the posterior group, all of which were repaired successfully. Eight of 10 patients with a dural tear had central disc herniation, 7 of which were calcified. Arts et al. stated that cerebrospinal fluid leakage was one of the most frequently observed complications at a rate of 18% in patients with TDH treated with mini-open TTA approaches, and they attributed the rate of leakage to dural adherence to the disc due to calcification (4).

Our present series suggests a lower rate of durotomy (8.8%) using the anterior approach, with a much higher rate (22%) using the posterior approach. Despite having different patho-anatomy due to different indications (smaller/non-calcified disc herniation) for posterior approaches, a risk remains for a dural laceration and neurological injury due to manipulation of the thecal sac (13,25).

In addition, anterior approach-associated neurologic complications have been reported in the literature (Table VI). Moran et al. reported transient neurological deterioration in 2 of 17 patients with giant TDH treated using mini-TTA (27). Strom et al. reported permanent neurological deterioration in 1 patient in their series including 64 patients treated thoracoscopically (34).

Kapoor et al. reported 2 cases of postoperative late-onset neurological complications due to herniation of the spinal cord as a result of anterior bone decompression after anterior approach (19). They also reported a patient with thoracic disc herniation who had postoperative late-onset neurological complications due to residual disc as a result of costotransversectomy. In another patient, a residual disc was detected by MRI and treated using a posterior transthoracic approach (19).

Roelz et al. reported 2 cases with severe postoperative paraparesis immediately after mini-TTA (30). Both patients had good preoperative neurological status (mJOA 10 and 11, respectively) and both had large densely calcified herniated discs with intradural extension. Of these two patients one regained the pre-operative neurological status (mJOA 11) after 6 months. The other patient regained ambulation after 6 months following the operation and recovered to a mJOA of 8/13 (30).

In the present study, 1 patient with calcified central disc herniation in the anterior group developed transient neurological deterioration, and muscle strength was fully recovered at 12-month follow-up. This outcome was consistent with previously reported neurologic complication rates of thoracic disc surgery using the anterior approach (4,5,27,29,34). In the posterior group, permanent neurological deterioration occurred in 2 patients and transient neurologic deterioration in 2 patients. All 4 patients had central disc herniations (3 calcified). We think the high rate of a neurologic deficit with the posterior approach is related to the type of herniated disc. While the rate of central disc herniations was similar in the anterior (52 patients, 76.5%) and posterior (14 patients, 77.8%) groups, the posterior group had a higher rate of neurological deficit, which is probably due to the extensive dural (hence, spinal cord) manipulation to reach in and remove the ventral disc herniation. Moreover, disc herniation often indents the spinal cord to the point that a posterior approach to reach the herniation will actually require dorsal retraction of the cord because the lateral aspect of the cord is, in fact, ventral to the herniated disc, which may be one reason for the higher rate of neurological injury with this approach.

Sivakumaran et al. reported huge calcified TDH after right-sided transfacet/transpedicular surgery. Ten days after surgery, they

Table VI: Literature Review of Complication and Neuro Deterioration Rates in Thoracic Disc Herniation Patients During Anterior vs Posterior Surgical Approach (n=number of patients)

	Year	N	Approach	Complications n (%)	Neuro deterioration n (%)	Class of evidence
ANTERIOR	Anand ²	2002	VATS	24 (24)	0 (0)	IV
	Wait ³⁷	2012	Thoracoscopic	20 (16,7)	0(0)	IV
	Moran ²⁷ †	2012	Mini LTTA	10 (75)	2 (11,8) **	IV
	Uribe ³⁹	2012	Mini LTTA	16 (26,6)	1 (1,7) [†]	IV
	Kasliwal ²⁰	2011	Mini TTA	0	0	IV
	Arts ⁴	2014	Mini TTA	21 (38)	3 (5,4) ^{††}	III
	Bransford ⁷	2010	Anterior open TT	3 (30)	0	III
	Current Study	2017	Mini LTTA & Open TT	30 (44)	1 (1,5)**	III
POSTERIOR	Bransford ⁶	2010	Transfacet pedicle-sparing	6 (33)	1 (5,5)	III
	Arts ⁴	2014	Transpedicular	2 (5)	1 (2) *	III
	Foreman ¹⁶	2016	Lateral extracavitary	NA	1 (4,3)*	IV
	Curent study	2017	Posterior	10 (55)	4 (22) ***	III

‡ Giant thoracic disc herniation, * Permanant Neurological Deterioration, ** Transient Neurological Deterioration, *** 2 patient had Permanant neurological Deterioration 2 had transient neurological deterioration, †† worsened one or more grades on the ASIA scale, † new lower-extremity weakness.

reoperated the patient due to residual disc herniation using a left-sided pedicle-sparing approach. However, they detected residual disc herniation after the second operation; thus, they performed a third operation with screw-rod instrumented stabilization for bilateral facet destabilization (31).

Our study showed that calcified central disc herniation surgically addressed using a posterior approach increases the risk of neurologic deficit 19.1-fold when compared with the anterior approach.

A literature review of the complications and neurological deterioration rates in TDH patients undergoing the anterior versus posterior surgical approach is listed in Table VI.

In the present study, none of the patients who underwent laminectomy had neurologic deterioration, and they had neurologic improvement at the short-term follow-up. Prior to the description of modern surgical techniques, the treatment of TDHs included laminectomy and discectomy, which resulted in catastrophic complications (23). Currently, this technique is rarely used due to poor clinical outcomes (17, 23). Laminectomy without discectomy, on the other hand, can be applied in certain patients, especially for those who require acute decompression (9). In the present study, none of the patients who underwent laminectomy had neurologic deterioration, and they had neurologic improvement at the short-term follow-up. To our knowledge, no study has reported long-term outcomes of laminectomy only without disc removal for TDH.

Alonso and Kasliwal reported a 55-year-old patient who underwent posterior stabilization with laminectomy without discectomy. After surgery, the patient's neurological condition improved and remained stable (1). Brauge et al. performed laminectomy in 10 TDH patients with acute myelopathy, first, to stabilize the patients' neurological condition. Next, during a second surgical period, they performed thoracoscopic discectomy in 6 patients. Nine patients had neurological recovery, and neurological condition stabilized in 1 patient after laminectomy (8). We agree with the current literature that neurological deterioration rate increases when laminectomy is performed with discectomy and we think laminectomy without discectomy stabilizes neurological status for a short period.

Our study has several limitations. First, it is a retrospective study; however, it was difficult to perform a prospective randomized trial due to the low incidence of TDH and a wide variety of surgical procedures. Another limitation is the selection bias that occurred when choosing the anterior versus posterior approach, which may be a factor when considering the neurological outcomes. Put another way, an anterior approach may have been chosen in patients with disc herniation so severe that a posterior approach would not have been feasible or safe, and a posterior approach may have been chosen in patients with less severe disc herniation. Moreover, an inherent selection bias exists based on surgeon experience, availability of a thoracic surgeon for surgeons who do not or cannot perform the thoracotomy alone, or medical comorbidities precluding an anterior approach. Long-term follow-up is not available for all patients, which may affect

the final revision rates due to pseudarthrosis. However, short-term follow-up is satisfactory for monitoring neurological improvement. Patients who developed perioperative neurological deficits were followed up for 29.2 months.

■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we found a lower rate of neurological injury for calcified central TDH with the anterior compared with the posterior approach. Although pulmonary complications are more common with anterior approaches, they resolve quickly with treatment and are a lesser source of morbidity when compared to profound neurologic deficits. Therefore, one could consider treating calcified central disc herniations via the anterior approach and performing posterior approaches for small, non-calcified paracentral disc herniations.

■ REFERENCES

- Alonso F, Kasliwal MK: Regression of giant calcified thoracic disk and spinal cord compression following thoracic laminectomy and posterior instrumented fusion. *World Neurosurg* 110:64, 2018
- Anand N, Regan JJ: Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery for thoracic disc disease: Classification and outcome study of 100 consecutive cases with a 2-year minimum follow-up period. *Spine* 27:871-879, 2002
- Arce CA, Dohrmann GJ: Herniated thoracic disks. *Neurol Clin* 3:383-392, 1985
- Arts MP, Bartels RH: Anterior or posterior approach of thoracic disc herniation? A comparative cohort of mini-transthoracic versus transpedicular discectomies. *Spine J* 14:1654-1662, 2014
- Ayhan S, Nelson C, Gok B, Petteys RJ, Wolinsky JP, Witham TF, Bydon A, Gokaslan ZL, Sciubba DM: Transthoracic surgical treatment for centrally located thoracic disc herniations presenting with myelopathy: A 5-year institutional experience. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 23:79-88, 2010
- Bransford R, Zhang F, Bellabarba C, Konodi M, Chapman JR: Early experience treating thoracic disc herniations using a modified transfacet pedicle-sparing decompression and fusion. *J Neurosurg Spine* 12:221-231, 2010
- Bransford RJ, Zhang F, Bellabarba C, Lee MJ: Treating thoracic-disc herniations: Do we always have to go anteriorly? *Evid Based Spine Care J* 1:21-28, 2010
- Brauge D, Horodyckid C, Arrighi M, Reina V, Eap C, Mireau E, Bertrand B, Aldéa S, Gaillard S: Management of giant thoracic disc herniation by thoracoscopic approach: Experience of 53 cases. *Oper Neurosurg* 2018 (Epub ahead of print)
- Brauge D, Madkouri R, Reina V, Bennis S, Baussart B, Mireau E, Aldea S, Gaillard S: Is there a place for the posterior approach in cases of acute myelopathy on thoracic disc hernia? *World Neurosurg* 107:744-749, 2017
- Brown CW, Deffer PA, Akmakjian J, Donaldson DH, Brugman JL: The natural history of thoracic disc herniation. *Spine* 17:S97-102, 1992
- Carr DA, Volkov AA, Rhoiney DL, Setty P, Barrett RJ, Claybrooks R, Bono PL, Tong D, Soo TM: Management of thoracic disc herniations via posterior unilateral modified transfacet pedicle-sparing decompression with segmental instrumentation and interbody fusion. *Global Spine J* 7:506-513, 2017
- Court C, Mansour E, Bouthors C: Thoracic disc herniation: Surgical treatment. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res* 104:S31-S40, 2018
- Debnath UK, McConnell JR, Sengupta DK, Mehdian SM, Webb JK: Results of hemivertebrectomy and fusion for symptomatic thoracic disc herniation. *Eur Spine J* 12:292-299, 2003
- Deviren V, Kuelling FA, Poulter G, Pekmezci M: Minimal invasive anterolateral transthoracic transpleural approach: A novel technique for thoracic disc herniation. A review of the literature, description of a new surgical technique and experience with first 12 consecutive patients. *J Spinal Disord Tech* 24:E40-48, 2011
- Fessler RG, Sturgill M: Review: Complications of surgery for thoracic disc disease. *Surg Neurol* 49:609-618, 1998
- Foreman PM, Naftel RP, Moore TA 2nd, Hadley MN: The lateral extracavitary approach to the thoracolumbar spine: A case series and systematic review. *J Neurosurg Spine* 24:570-579, 2016
- Hulme A: The surgical approach to thoracic intervertebral disc protrusions. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 23:133-137, 1960
- Johnson JP, Filler AG, Mc Bride DQ: Endoscopic thoracic discectomy. *Neurosurg Focus* 9:e11, 2000
- Kapoor S, Amarouche M, Al-Obeidi F, JM UK-I, Thomas N, Bell D: Giant thoracic discs: Treatment, outcome, and follow-up of 33 patients in a single centre. *Eur Spine J* 27:1555-1566, 2018
- Kasliwal MK, Deutsch H: Minimally invasive retropleural approach for central thoracic disc herniation. *Minim Invasive Neurosurg* 54:167-171, 2011
- Kerezoudis P, Rajjoub KR, Goncalves S, Alvi MA, Elminawy M, Alamoudi A, Nassr A, Habermann EB, Bydon M: Anterior versus posterior approaches for thoracic disc herniation: Association with postoperative complications. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 167:17-23, 2018
- Khoo LT, Smith ZA, Asgarzadie F, Barlas Y, Armin SS, Tashjian V, Zarate B: Minimally invasive extracavitary approach for thoracic discectomy and interbody fusion: 1-year clinical and radiographic outcomes in 13 patients compared with a cohort of traditional anterior transthoracic approaches. *J Neurosurg Spine* 14:250-260, 2011
- Logue V: Thoracic intervertebral disc prolapse with spinal cord compression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 15:227-241, 1952
- McAfee PC, Regan JR, Fedder IL, Mack MJ, Geis WP: Anterior thoracic corpectomy for spinal cord decompression performed endoscopically. *Surg Laparosc Endosc* 5:339-348, 1995
- McCormick WE, Will SF, Benzel EC: Surgery for thoracic disc disease. Complication avoidance: Overview and management. *Neurosurg Focus* 9:e13, 2000

26. McNerney J, Ball PA: The pathophysiology of thoracic disc disease. *Neurosurg Focus* 9:e1, 2000
27. Moran C, Ali Z, Mc Evoy L, Bolger C: Mini-open retropleural transthoracic approach for the treatment of giant thoracic disc herniation. *Spine* 37:E1079-1084, 2012
28. Oppenlander ME, Clark JC, Kalyvas J, Dickman CA: Surgical management and clinical outcomes of multiple-level symptomatic herniated thoracic discs. *J Neurosurg Spine* 19:774-783, 2013
29. Quint U, Bordon G, Preissl I, Sanner C, Rosenthal D: Thoracoscopic treatment for single level symptomatic thoracic disc herniation: A prospective followed cohort study in a group of 167 consecutive cases. *Eur Spine J* 21:637-645, 2012
30. Roelz R, Scholz C, Klingler JH, Scheiwe C, Sircar R, Hubbe U: Giant central thoracic disc herniations: Surgical outcome in 17 consecutive patients treated by mini-thoracotomy. *Eur Spine J* 25:1443-1451, 2016
31. Sivakumaran R, Uschold TD, Brown MT, Patel NR: Transfacet and transpedicular posterior approaches to thoracic disc herniations: Consecutive case series of 24 patients. *World Neurosurg* 120: e921-e931, 2018
32. Stillerman CB, Chen TC, Couldwell WT, Zhang W, Weiss MH: Experience in the surgical management of 82 symptomatic herniated thoracic discs and review of the literature. *J Neurosurg* 88:623-633, 1998
33. Stillerman CB, Chen TC, Day JD, Couldwell WT, Weiss MH: The transfacet pedicle-sparing approach for thoracic disc removal: Cadaveric morphometric analysis and preliminary clinical experience. *J Neurosurg* 83:971-976, 1995
34. Strom RG, Mathur V, Givans H, Kondziolka DS, Perin NI: Technical modifications and decision-making to reduce morbidity in thoracic disc surgery: An institutional experience and treatment algorithm. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 133:75-82, 2015
35. Upadhyaya CD, Wu JC, Chin CT, Balamurali G, Mummaneni PV: Avoidance of wrong-level thoracic spine surgery: Intraoperative localization with preoperative percutaneous fiducial screw placement. *J Neurosurg Spine* 16:280-284, 2012
36. Uribe JS, Smith WD, Pimenta L, Hartl R, Dakwar E, Modhia UM, Pollock GA, Nagineni V, Smith R, Christian G, Oliveira L, Marchi L, Deviren V: Minimally invasive lateral approach for symptomatic thoracic disc herniation: Initial multicenter clinical experience. *J Neurosurg Spine* 16:264-279, 2012
37. Wait SD, Fox DJ Jr, Kenny KJ, Dickman CA: Thoracoscopic resection of symptomatic herniated thoracic discs: Clinical results in 121 patients. *Spine* 37:35-40, 2012